

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 9, Page 223-230, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.122725 ISSN: 2320-7035

Effect of Nano Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Hitesh Borana ^{a++}, Ishwar Singh ^{b#}, JR Verma ^{c†}, Moola Ram ^{d‡} and Bheru Lal Kumhar ^{e^*}

^a Department of Agronomy, CoA, AU, Jodhpur, India. ^b Department of Agronomy, AU, Jodhpur, India. ^c Department of Plant Pathology, CoA, AU, Jodhpur, India. ^d AICRP on Pearlmillet, Agricultural Research Station, Mandor, Agriculture University, Jodhpur- 342304, India. ^e Agricultural Research Station, Mandor, Agriculture University, Jodhpur 342304, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i94970

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122725

> Received: 29/06/2024 Accepted: 31/08/2024 Published: 03/09/2024

Original Research Article

++ Ph.D. Scholar;

Cite as: Borana, Hitesh, Ishwar Singh, JR Verma, Moola Ram, and Bheru Lal Kumhar. 2024. "Effect of Nano Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.)". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (9):223-30. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i94970.

[#] Retired Professor:

[†] Professor;

[‡] Associate Professor (Agronomy) and Programme Coordinator;

[^]Associate Professor (Agronomy);

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: prajapatiagro09@gmail.com, blk.agronomy@aujodhpur.ac.in;

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Jodhpur during two consecutive *rabi* seasons of 2021-22 and 2022-23. The field experiment was tested in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with fourteen treatments replicated thrice. Results showed that the application of 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) + seed treatment (ST) with nano DAP and two foliar sprays of nano urea at 35 and 55 days after sowing (DAS) was found the most effective treatment with recorded highest number of tillers (431/m²), length of spike (17.03 cm), number of spikes (414 /m²), number of grains (45.24 /spike), test weight (46.32) and grain yield (4399 kg/ha) on pooled basis. However, this treatment was found statistically at par with treatments of 100 per cent RDF + 2 foliar sprays (FS) of nano urea at 35 and 55 DAS, 100% RDF + FS of nano DAP at 35 DAS, 75% RDF + ST with nano DAP and 2 foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS but significantly superior over other treatments.

Keywords: Foliar spray; nano fertilizer; seed treatment; wheat; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is main staple food grain crop, It is consumed by nearly 36 per cent of the world population with more than half of their calories and nearly half of their protein but also core part of animal feed as straw in most of the countries [1]. In India, it is the second staple food crop next to rice contributing about 32 per cent of the total food grain production. It is the cheapest source and supplier of the calories and protein for healthy diet [2]. Wheat straw is also a major source of fodder for the animal population of the country. Wheat grain contains starch (60-90%), protein (11-16.5%), fat (1.5-2%), inorganic ions (1.2-2%), vitamins B complex and E [3]. In India, wheat stands second position in area and production, but first in productivity amongst all the cereals with acreage, production and productivity of 31.23 million hectares, 112.92 million tonnes and 3615 kg/ha, respectively [4]. In Rajasthan, wheat crop covers an area of 27.85 lakh hectares with an annual production of 10.41 million tonnes and an average productivity of 3739 kg/ha which is slightly higher than national average. Rajasthan state is on fifth position in wheat production after Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Harvana and Madhya Pradesh [5]. The application of fertilizers in the crop fields is a common practice for increasing the crop production to fulfill the global demand of food [6]. The overuse of fertilizers in some regions and countries has led to worrying environmental problems such as the saturation of nutrients in soils and the loss of fertilizer via leaching to groundwater and via runoff to surface water leading to pollution of drinking water and eutrophication of freshwater rivers and lakes. However, conventional fertilizers don't provide the require results because most of the nutrients

don't reach at the target site due to losses by evaporation, leaching, volatilization, erosion, run off and nutrients photolytic deprivation [7,8]. The alfisols of Western Rajasthan are deficient in nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients and farmers supply these nutrients in the form of fertilizers for normal growth and development of plants. Nitrogen is the important nutrient, its deficiency often limits crop production. It enhances vegetative growth and photosynthetic activity in wheat and increases the water use efficiency of wheat. The cultivation of wheat is increasing, it requires heavy fertilization. Increasing cost of fertilizers is main cause of concern as most of fertilizers are imported in India. Besides, the use of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassic fertilizers are seen with lower nutrient use efficiency (NUE) which ranges from 20 to 50 per cent for nitrogen. 10-25 per cent for phosphorus and 70-80 per cent potassium [9,10] Improvement of NUE is needed for better cropping systems, supporting the sustainable agricultural production systems and increasing soil quality components [11]. To address the challenges, innovative technologies with a potential of increasing the sustainability of the present-day cropping systems are required to be introduced in modern agriculture. these technological advancements, Among nanotechnology has the potential to contribute to a new technology-based agricultural revolution. Nano-materials differ from conventional materials having specific composition with unique property like small size of particle with high surface area, high reactivity and catalytic activity [12]. In precision agriculture, nano fertilizers offer a highly efficient means of precisely managing crop nutrients. These fertilizers can be administered at any stage of the crop's growth, aligning nutrient supply with the plant's specific developmental needs [13]. Foliar application of nutrients has been found to be more effective than soil application. This method enhances nutrient uptake by plants and reduces costs per unit area [14]. A small delivery system of nano fertilizer is seen because of its multidisciplinary characters like remotely coordinated, a specific and targeted approach and release nutrient slowly or in controlled way which helps to dodge the biological barriers [15]. Nano-technology has gained popularity in the agricultural sector in recent decades due to the invention of nano fertilizers that enhances nutrient use efficiency minimizing losses. Recent research and that evidences indicated intervention of nanotechnology in wheat farming is still in its early stages, although have bright prospects for efficient nutrient utilization through nano formulations of fertilizers, breaching yield barriers through bio nanotechnology, surveillance and management of pests and diseases and development of new-generation pesticides etc., so the present research work done on effect of nano fertilizers on growth and yieldof wheat (Triticum aestivum L.).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was carried out at Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Jodhpur during rabi of 2021-22 and 2022-23. seasons Geographically, Jodhpur is situated between 26° 15' N to 26° 45' North latitude and 73° 00' E to latitude 73° 29' East longitude at an altitude of 231 meter above mean sea level. The soil was loamy sand in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.14%) and medium inavailable nitrogen (175 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (24.2 kg/ha) and high in availability potash (325.0 kg/ha).

2.2 Experimental Treatments

The field experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with fourteen treatments replicated thrice. The treatments comprised of T₁-100% RDF + two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₂-100% RDF + foliar spray of nano DAP at 35 DAS, T₃-100% RDF + ST with nano DAP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₄-75% RDF + two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₅-75% RDF + foliar spray of nano DAP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₆-100% RDF + ST with nano DAP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₇-50% RDF + two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano DAP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₇-50% RDF + two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 35 with nano URP at 35 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 with nano URP at 35 with

nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS. T₈-50% RDF + foliar sprav of nano DAP at 35 DAS, T₉-50% RDF + ST with nano DAP at 5 ml/kg seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₁₀-Foliar spray of nano DAP at 35 DAS + two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₁₁-ST with nano DAP at 5 ml/kg seed + foliar spray of nano DAP at 35 DAS + two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₁₂- ST with nano DAP at 5 ml/kg seed + two foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS, T₁₃-100% RDF through conventional fertilizers and T₁₄-Control. In accordance with the treatments, recommended doses of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were given as basal application at the time of sowing through urea, di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) and murate of potash (MOP). Specifically, half of the prescribed nitrogen quantity was applied as a basal application while the remaining amount of nitrogen top dressed within the standing crop through urea at the time of the first irrigation.As per treatments, ST was done with nano DAP at 5 ml/kg of seed with required quantity of water to form thin film on seed surface and then dried in shade. Thereafter, sowing was done in each marked plots. The FS of nano urea and DAP were done as per treatment combination. The foliar sprays of nano urea at 4 ml/L and nano DAP at 2 ml/L water was applied as per scheduled treatments. The first spray of nano urea was applied at 35 DAS and second at 55 DAS. FS of nano DAP was applied at 35 DAS.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data revealed that application of 100% RDF + ST with nano DAP and 2 foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS recorded highest no. of tillers/m², length of spike, no. of spikes/m², no. of grains/spike, test weight which was statistically at par with 100% RDF + 2 FS of nano urea at 35 and 55 DAS, 100% RDF + FS of nano DAP at 35 DAS, 75% RDF + ST with nano DAP and 2 foliar spray of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS. These improvements were observed in yield attributes such as tillers/m², number of spikes/m², spike length, number of grains/spike and test weight. The pooled data (Table 1) further confirms that this specific treatment led to a remarkable increase of 171.1% in the number of tillers compared to the control plots. This notable effect can be attributed to the synergy between conventional fertilizer and nano fertilizer, which enhances nutrient absorption by plant cells, ultimately promoting optimal growth and metabolic processes like photosynthesis. This, in turn, results in higher accumulation and

| Treatments | | No. of tillers/m ² | Length of spike (cm) | No. of spikes/m ² | No. of grains/spike | Test weight (g) | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| T ₁ | 100% RDF + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 411 | 16.58 | 401 | 44.35 | 46.18 | |
| T ₂ | 100% RDF + FS nano DAP 35 DAS | 399 | 16.48 | 389 | 43.98 | 45.74 | |
| T₃ | 100% RDF + ST nano DAP & 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 431 | 17.03 | 414 | 45.24 | 46.32 | |
| T ₄ | 75% RDF + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 384 | 15.29 | 371 | 40.51 | 45.20 | |
| T₅ | 75% RDF + FS of nano DAP at 35 DAS | 366 | 14.71 | 359 | 39.62 | 45.14 | |
| T ₆ | 75% RDF + ST nano DAP & 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 396 | 15.88 | 382 | 41.94 | 45.40 | |
| T ₇ | 50% RDF + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 339 | 13.78 | 334 | 37.04 | 44.76 | |
| T ₈ | 50% RDF + FS nano DAP 35 DAS | 320 | 13.19 | 311 | 35.35 | 44.64 | |
| T9 | 50% RDF + ST nano DAP & 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 348 | 14.24 | 339 | 37.66 | 44.81 | |
| T 10 | FS nano DAP 35 DAS + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 263 | 10.68 | 252 | 29.83 | 43.13 | |
| T 11 | ST nano DAP + FS nano DAP 35 DAS & 2 FS nano urea | 277 | 11.09 | 273 | 31.58 | 43.20 | |
| T ₁₂ | ST nano DAP + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 226 | 9.23 | 220 | 25.58 | 42.83 | |
| T 13 | 100% RDF conventional fertilizers | 390 | 15.33 | 374 | 40.67 | 45.22 | |
| T 14 | Control | 159 | 7.29 | 154 | 17.77 | 40.70 | |
| SEm± | | 12.1 | 0.58 | 11.6 | 1.52 | 0.40 | |
| CD (P=0.05) | | 35.1 | 1.69 | 33.7 | 4.42 | 1.16 | |

Table 1. Effect of nano fertilizers and RDF levels on yield attributes of wheat crop (two year pooled)

| Treatments | | Grain yield (kg/ha) | | Straw yield (kg/ha) | | | Biological yield (kg/ha) | | | |
|------------------------|--|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| | | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | Pooled |
| T ₁ | 100% RDF + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 4099 | 4307 | 4203 | 5228 | 5502 | 5365 | 9327 | 9809 | 9568 |
| T ₂ | 100% RDF + FS nano DAP 35 DAS | 4027 | 4280 | 4154 | 5149 | 5473 | 5311 | 9177 | 9753 | 9465 |
| T ₃ | 100% RDF + ST nano DAP & 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 4284 | 4513 | 4399 | 5351 | 5698 | 5525 | 9635 | 10212 | 9923 |
| T ₄ | 75% RDF + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 3793 | 4000 | 3896 | 4887 | 5206 | 5047 | 8680 | 9206 | 8943 |
| T ₅ | 75% RDF + FS of nano DAP at 35 DAS | 3645 | 3827 | 3736 | 4696 | 4983 | 4840 | 8341 | 8810 | 8575 |
| T ₆ | 75% RDF + ST nano DAP & 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 3864 | 4066 | 3965 | 4949 | 5238 | 5094 | 8813 | 9305 | 9059 |
| T ₇ | 50% RDF + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 3400 | 3570 | 3485 | 4390 | 4714 | 4552 | 7790 | 8284 | 8037 |
| T ₈ | 50% RDF + FS nano DAP 35 DAS | 3229 | 3421 | 3325 | 4177 | 4452 | 4314 | 7405 | 7873 | 7639 |
| T9 | 50% RDF + ST nano DAP & 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 3445 | 3640 | 3543 | 4432 | 4762 | 4597 | 7877 | 8402 | 8140 |
| T ₁₀ | FS nano DAP 35 DAS + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 2470 | 2854 | 2662 | 3227 | 3779 | 3503 | 5697 | 6633 | 6165 |
| T ₁₁ | ST nano DAP + FS nano DAP 35 DAS & 2 FS nano urea | 2700 | 3045 | 2872 | 3530 | 3985 | 3757 | 6230 | 7029 | 6630 |
| T ₁₂ | ST nano DAP + 2 FS nano urea 35 & 55 DAS | 2148 | 2440 | 2294 | 2838 | 3217 | 3027 | 4985 | 5657 | 5321 |
| T ₁₃ | 100% RDF conventional fertilizers | 3844 | 4030 | 3937 | 4941 | 5221 | 5081 | 8785 | 9250 | 9018 |
| T ₁₄ | Control | 1487 | 1720 | 1603 | 1980 | 2306 | 2143 | 3467 | 4026 | 3746 |
| | SEm± | | 200.3 | 154.7 | 244.0 | 256.1 | 197.5 | 403.8 | 430.0 | 341.7 |
| CD (<i>P=0.05</i>) | | 497.8 | 582.2 | 449.7 | 709.2 | 744.4 | 574.1 | 1173.7 | 1249.9 | 993.4 |

Table 2. Effect of nano fertilizers and RDF levels on grain, straw, biological yield and harvest index of wheat crop (two year pooled)

translocation of photosynthates to economically important plant parts, leading to an increased number of reproductive tillers. Another reason for the improved yield attributes could be the increased availability of nutrients, which facilitates faster translocation of photosynthates from leaves to sink sites, such as spikes and grains, through the stem. This results in longer and heavier spikes with more grains per spike. The nano fertilizers stimulated biological and enzymatic reactions while regulating hormone levels, creating favorable conditions for the accumulation of the necessary dry materials for pollination and fertilization. They might have reduced the rate of ovarian abortion, ultimately promoting pollination and fertilization, which results in a higher number of grains per spike. Besides their nutritional role, availability of nano ureaitrogen might have influenced hormone regulation, particularly auxin at the top of the spike. Cytokinin play a role in preventing the transfer of auxin from older to newer grains, thereby increasing grain set in the spike, which is reflected through increased number of grains per spike. These findings are in line with previous studies conducted by [16-19]. Results (Table 2) indicated that 100% RDF + ST with nano DAP and 2 FS of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS produced maximum grain, straw and biological yield which was statistically at par with treatments applied with 100% RDF + 2 FS of nano urea at 35 and 55 DAS, 100% RDF + FS of nano DAP at 35 DAS and 75% RDF + ST with nano DAP and 2 FS of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS but remained significantly superior over treatments applied with 50% RDF in combination with nano fertilizers. Application of ST with nano DAP + 2 FS of nano urea at 35 and 55 DAS recorded grain yield of 2294 kg/ha and when this treatment was super imposed with 50, 75 and 100% RDF, the grain yield was further improved by 54.4, 72.8 and 91.7%, respectively. The treatment of 50% RDF+ ST with nano DAP and 2 FS of nano urea at 35 & 55 DAS significantly improved the grain yield by 881 and 1940 kg/ha over FS of nano DAP at 35 DAS + 2 FS of nano urea at 35 and 55 DAS and control, respectively. This improvement can be attributed to high efficiency of fertilizer/nutrients utilization when they are applied in nano form combined with RDF. Various factors influence grain yield which are genotypic variations, environmental conditions and agronomic practices such as fertilization. Sahu et al. [20] discovered that the application of foliar sprays of nutrient solutions at distinct growth stages, in conjunction with the judicious application of recommended fertilizer quantities and nano-

diammonium phosphate (nano DAP), collectively contributed to a notable enhancement in vield.Nano form of fertilizers may be attributed to superior absorption, interception the and utilization of phosphorus. Nano DAP exhibited slow-release characteristic throughout the growth period, which consequently elevated chlorophyl svnthesis and leads to а substantial accumulation of biomass yield. Liu and Lal's [21] findings indicated a remarkable growth rate enhancement of 32.6 and 20.4% in seed production compared to the scenarios where no nano fertilizers were applied [21]. Indeed, recent studies by Velmurugan et al, [22] and Sahu et al. [20] have demonstrated that nano fertilizers performed better than commercial urea in different crops [20,22]. Ahmadian et al., [23] also reported that nano fertilizers significantly boosts wheat grain yield by improving various growth parameters such as plant height, spike length, number of grains per spike, 1000-grain weight, and overall biological yield. These findings are in close conformity with the results reported by [19,24-27].

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of two years experimentation, it can be concluded that wheat crop can be fertilized with 100% RDF (90 kg N/ha, 40 kg P_2O_5 and 20 kg K₂O/ha) along with seed treatment with nano DAP @ 5 ml/kg of seed and two foliar spray of nano urea at 4 ml/L of water at 35 & 55 DAS for higher production.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Gangwar SK, Singh RP, Mishra PK, Ahmad R, Singh AK. Effect of Foliar Application of Nano-Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Advances in Bioresearch. 2022; 13(3):190-193.
- Heyne EG. Wheat and wheat improvement. 2nd ed. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A; 1987.

- 3. Rueda Ayala, Rasmussen VP, Gerhards JR, Fournaise, NE. The influence of postemergence weed harrowing on selectivity, crop recovery and crop yield in different growth stages of winter wheat. Weed Research. 2011;51(5):478-488.
- 4. IIWBR. Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal; 2024. (Website: https://iiwbr.icar.gov.in retrieved on dated 16-08-2024).
- 5. Govt. of Rajasthan. Second Advance Estimates of Area, Production and yield of crops in respect of Rajasthan State for the year. 2023-24; 2024.
- 6. Chhipa H. Nano fertilizers and nano DAPesticides for agriculture. Environmental Chemistry Letters. 2017;15(1):15-22.
- 7. Manjunatha SB, Biradar DP, Aladakatti YR. Nano-technology and its applications in agriculture: A review. Journal of Farm Sciences. 2016;29(1):1-13.
- 8. Ram M, Kumhar BL, Kumar M, Meena RC and Meena DS. Comparative assessment of urea and nano urea on growth and yield of pearl millet. Ecology, Environment & Conservation. 2023;29:S203-S206.
- 9. Shaviv A. Advances in controlled release of fertilizers. Advanced Agronomy Journal. 2000;71:1-49.
- 10. Chinnamuthu CR, Boopathi PM. Nanotechnology and agro-ecosystem. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2009;96:17-31.
- 11. Mahanta N, Dambale A, Rajkhowa M. Nutrient use efficiency through nano fertilizers. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(3):2839-2842.
- 12. Verma H, Kapoor A. Agro-nanotechnology: an agricultural paradigm. 2020;1-24.
- Marufi, MN, Kumar R, Singh V, Singh M, Singh AK. Determining the Effect of Nutrient Management and Weed Control Practices on Weed Dynamics in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology. 2024;27(7):124-32.
- Verma, S, Rana NS, Vivek, Rastogi M, Singh BV, Yadav PK, Mohapatra. Evaluation of the Potential of Smart Fertilizers on Growth and Nutrient Availability of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34 (20):781-88.
- 15. Nair R, Varghese S, Nair B, Maekawa T, Yoshida Y, Kumar S. Nanoparticulate material delivery to plants. Plant Science. 2010;179:154-163.

- 16. Aziz HMM, Hasaneen MNA, Omer AM. Nano chitosan-NPK fertilizer enhances the growth and productivity of wheat plants grown in sandy soil. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016;14(1):1-11.
- 17. Khospeyak S, Haghi RS, Ahmadiyan A. The effect of application of nano organic fertilizer and nitrogen fertilizer on yield, yield components and essential oil content of fennel. Iranian Journal of Field Crop Research. 2016;14(4):775-787.
- Rani B, Zalawadia NM, Buha D, Rushang K. Effect of different levels of chemical and nano ureaitrogenous fertilizers on content and uptake of N, P, K by sorghum crop cv. Gundari. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(5):454-458.
- Attri M, Sharma N, Sharma BC. Effect of foliar application of nano urea on productivity and profitability of fine rice under irrigated subtropics of Jammu region. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2022;49(5):1935-1938.
- Sahu TK, Kumar M, Kumar N, Chandrakar T, Singh DP. Effect of nano urea application on growth and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under midland situation of Bastar region. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(6):185-187.
- 21. Liu R, Lal R. Synthetic apatite nanoparticles as a phosphorus fertilizer for soybean (*Glycine max*). Environmental Science. 2014;4:1-18.
- Velmurugan A, Subramanil T, Bommayasamy N, Ramakrishna MK, Swarnam TP Effect of foliar application of nano urea (liquid) on Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of the Andaman Science Association. 2021;26(2):76–81.
- Ahmadian K, Jalilian J, Pirzad A. Nanofertilizers improved drought tolerance in wheat under deficit irrigation. Agricultural Water Management. 2021;244: 106544.
- 24. AlJuthery HW, Habeeb KH, Altaee FJK, AlTaey DK, AlTawaha ARM. Effect of foliar application of different sources of nano fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat. Bioscience Research. 2018;4:3976–3985.
- Kumar Y, Tiwari KN, Nayak RK, Rai A, Singh SP, Singh AN, Kumar Y, Tomar H, Singh T, Raliya R. Nano fertilizers for increasing nutrient use efficiency, yield and economic returns in important winter season crops of Uttar Pradesh. Indian journal of Fertilizers. 2020;16(8):772-786.

- 26. Meena RH. Jat G. Jain D. Impact of foliar application of different nano fertilizers on soil microbial properties and yield of wheat. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2021; 42: 302-308.
- Ranjan P, Kumar B, Mala A, Priyadarshi S, Shri A, Lal B, Narayan A, Kumar B. Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on yield and economics of rice. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(1): 3030-3033.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122725