

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

Volume 42, Issue 9, Page 159-163, 2024; Article no.AJAEES.121385 ISSN: 2320-7027

# Study of Bajra Production in Solapur District, India: An Economic Perspective

# S. N. Ingle <sup>a\*</sup>, S. S. Thakare <sup>a</sup>, P. D. Peshattiwar <sup>a</sup> and J. B. Durge <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Shri Shivaji Agriculture College, Amravati, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI:\_https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2024/v42i92551

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc. are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121385

Original Research Article

Received: 01/07/2024 Accepted: 05/09/2024 Published: 05/09/2024

### ABSTRACT

This study examined the economics of Bajra production in Solapur district between 2021-22 using primary data. The study employed stratified and purposive random sampling data technics to select 90 bajra farmers through the use of well-structured questionnaire. The result shows that per hectare cost of cultivation of Bajra at cost "C<sub>3</sub>" was the highest in large group i.e. 40023.95 followed by medium group Rs. 31095.14 and small group Rs. 26086.85. To estimate cost and returns in Bajra production was achieved by tabular analysis with cost concepts i.e., Cost A<sub>1</sub>, Cost A<sub>2</sub>, Cost B<sub>1</sub>, Cost B<sub>2</sub>, Cost C<sub>1</sub>, Cost C<sub>2</sub>, & Cost C<sub>3</sub> percentage and output-input ratio was emphasized. Study of constraints and suggestion of Bajra grower in production and marketing of Bajra was achieved by applying frequency and percentage method. The average yield and gross returns per hectare increased with the increase in size of farms. The Input Output ratio of Bajra at "C<sub>3</sub>" was 1.22 in small group, 1.24 in medium group and 1.26 in large group. This indicates that, Cultivation of Bajra crop was economically profitable. The average main production was 275.89 gt/ha.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: sunilingle614@gmail.com;

*Cite as:* Ingle, S. N., S. S. Thakare, P. D. Peshattiwar, and J. B. Durge. 2024. "Study of Bajra Production in Solapur District, India: An Economic Perspective". Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology 42 (9):159-63. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2024/v42i92551. Keywords: Bajra; cost; returns; profit; input-output ratio.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

"Millet is a collective term referring to a number of small seeded annual grasses that are cultivated as grain crops, primarily on marginal lands in dry areas in subtropical and tropical regions. Under millet group Bajra is an important cereal crop of India. One of the most important cereal crops after the rice, wheat, maize, barley and sorghum is Bajra in the world. Developing countries like Asia and Africa, accounts for 94% of global output across the world with 97% of millet production" [1,2]. Pearl millet is the second important millet of India. It considers to be poor man's food It is nutritionally better than many cereals as it is good source of protein (11.6 per cent), minerals particularly iron (8.8 percent), carbohydrates (67 per cent) and fat (5 per cent). It is high energy, nutritious food especially recommended for children. It is also high in phosphorus and calcium, nutritive, energy rich crop.

In India, pearl millet is fourth most widely cultivated food crop after rice, wheat and maize, In year 2021, Pearl millet, which accounts for about 2/3 of millet production related in India Baira growing states Raiasthan. Maior Maharashtra, Gujrat, Uttar Pradesh and Haryana. Maharashtra state is the third largest in area, second in production and seventh in productivity of Bajra. It contributes 8.42% of area i.e. 0.64 MH and 5.88 MT production under Bajra in year 2021-2022 i.e. 20% in production of Bajra. The Bajra is primary crop of dryland area where it is taken on residual moisture and scanty rainfall. Bajra is grown staple food crop which has ability to withstand the adverse condition. In Maharashtra Nasik, Beed, Satara, Sangli, Solapur, Dhule, and Jalgaon are important Bajra growing districts. Bajra is generally grown under rainfed condition in the district.

In Solapur district the area under Bajra crop is 63400 ha. with production of 761000 qt. and productivity of 12.0 qt./ha. Ultimately area under Bajra is expected to increase and it would be possible to bring low fertile land of drought prone area which is large in proportion can be brought under Bajra cultivation in addition to the present area. The increase demand may lead to increase in prices of Bajra and farmers may be benefited. The need was felt to answer certain queries such as profitability, resources and marketing in Bajra production. With this background, this study was undertaken with the objectives to estimate cost and returns of Bajra.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study examined the economics of Bajra production in Solapur district between 2021-22 using primary data. The study employed stratified and purposive random sampling data technics to select 90 bajra farmers through the use of wellstructured questionnaire.

#### 2.1 Analytical Procedure

The standard cost concept i.e. Cost  $A_1$ , Cost  $A_2$ , Cost  $B_1$ , Cost  $B_2$ , Cost  $C_1$ , Cost  $C_2$ , and Cost  $C_3$  was used in present analysis.

**Cost**  $A_1$ : All variable cost excluding family labour cost and including depreciation. It is estimated based on government norms and actual labour use and wages paid by the farmers.

- 1. Value of Hired human labour (HL)
- 2. Value of hired and owned bullock labour (BL)
- 3. Value of hired and owned machine labour (ML)
- 4. Value of seeds
- 5. Value of insecticides and pesticides
- 6. Value of manure
- 7. Value of fertilizers
- 8. Irrigation charges
- 9. Depreciation on implements and farm building
- 10. Land revenue, cesses and other taxes
- 11. Interest on working capital
- 12. Miscellaneous expenses

**Cost A\_2:** Cost  $A_1$  + Rent paid for leased-in land

**Cost B1:** Cost A1 + interest value of owned fixed capital assets

**Cost B**<sub>2</sub>: Cost B<sub>1</sub> + rental value of owned land

**Cost C<sub>1</sub>:** Cost  $B_1$  + imputed value of family labour.

**Cost C<sub>2</sub>:** Cost  $B_2$ + imputed value of family labour.

**Cost C<sub>3</sub>:** Cost C<sub>2</sub> + 10 per cent of Cost C<sub>2</sub> on account of managerial functions performed by farmers.

#### 2.2 Gross and Net Returns

#### 2.2.1 Gross returns

Gross return of the farmers under the present study was estimated from returns obtained from sale of main produce.

Gross returns = Value of main produce + Value of by produce

#### 2.2.2 Net returns

Net returns were computed at different costs i.e. Cost A<sub>1</sub>, Cost A<sub>2</sub>, Cost B<sub>1</sub>, Cost B<sub>2</sub>, Cost C<sub>1</sub>, Cost C<sub>2</sub>, and Cost C<sub>3</sub> by deducting respective costs from the gross returns.

#### 2.3 Input-output Ratio

It was calculated at cost  $A_1$ , Cost  $A_2$ , Cost  $B_1$ , Cost  $B_2$ , Cost  $C_1$ , Cost  $C_2$ , and Cost  $C_3$  by dividing gross income by respective cost.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Cost of Cultivation of Selected Bajra Growers

The cost of cultivation is helpful for crop planning therefore in order to know the cost, returns and

profitability, the cost of cultivation of Bajra for small, medium, large and overall farmer was worked out.

The per hectare cost of cultivation of Bajra is presented in Table 1. It is observed from Table 1. that total cost of cultivation (Cost C<sub>3</sub>) is highest in large farmers was worked out to be Rs. 40023.95 and lowest in small farmers Rs. 26086.85. In medium and overall farmers total cost of cultivation was worked out to Rs. 31095 and Rs. 33724.03 respectively. It was observed from the result that cost A<sub>1</sub> was highest in larger farmer i.e. Rs. 22339.85 and it was minimum in small farmers i.e. Rs.14145.61.

The result revealed that total cost of cultivation i.e. Cost  $C_3$  is highest in larger farmers (Rs. 40023.95) followed by overall farmers (Rs.33724.03), medium (Rs. 31095.14) and small (Rs.26086.85) Similar result was found by Gedam PJ [1], Dawit and Basavaraja [3], Chaudhari et al. [4]. Pagre et al. [5]

Sr. No.		Size of growers			
		Small	Medium	Large	Overall
1	Hired Human Labour				
	Male	2850.00	3075.20	3578.40	3137.40
	Female	1956.00	2011.50	2325.60	2015.85
	Subtotal	4781.00	5086.70	5904.00	5153.25
3	Bullock labour	808.50	1148.40	1376.70	1116.80
4	Machine Charges	2472.00	3326.40	4840.08	3844.80
5	Seeds	841.80	1105.80	1196.15	1081.00
6	Manures	1050.00	1775.00	2506.00	2064.80
7	Fertilizers				
	Ν	751.00	1009.00	1256.00	905.00
	Р	609.60	600.00	567.20	596.00
	К	417.60	400.00	384.00	387.20
8	Subtotal	1779.20	2009.00	2207.20	1888.20
9	Irrigation Charges	358.47	401.66	441.44	370.40
10	Incidental Charges	183.67	269.12	310.58	250.45
11	Repairing Charges	363.26	402.21	401.66	400.10
12	Working Capital	12636.90	15524.29	19230.34	16169.80
13	Int. on working capital @6%	758.21	931.45	1923.03	1616.98
14	Depreciation	670.50	830.50	1050	915.20
15	Land Revenue	80.00	110.00	136.48	110.40
16	Cost A <sub>1</sub>	14145.61	17396.24	22339.85	18812.38
17	Rental value of leased land	-	-	-	-
18	Cost A <sub>2</sub>	14145.61	17396.24	22339.85	18812.38
19	Int. on fixed Capital @ 10%	1834.70	1880.00	2331.14	2010.60
20	Cost B <sub>1</sub>	15980.31	19276.24	24670.99	20822.98
21	Rental value of land	5357.50	6311.66	8310.35	6962.43
22	Cost B <sub>2</sub>	21337.81	25587.91	32981.34	27785.41
23	Family Labour				
24	Male	1260.00	1510.40	1911.00	1625.40
	Female	1117.50	1170.00	1493.07	1247.40

#### Table 1. Per hectare cost of cultivation of Bajra (Rs/ha)

Ingle et al.; Asian J. Agric. Ext. Econ. Soc., vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 159-163, 2024; Article no.AJAEES.121385

Sr. No	0.	Size of growers			
		Small	Medium	Large	Overall
	Subtotal	2377.50	2680.40	3404.07	2872.80
	Cost C <sub>1</sub>	18357.81	21956.64	28075.06	23695.78
25	Cost C <sub>2</sub>	23715.31	28268.31	36385.41	30658.21
26	10% of C <sub>2</sub>	2371.53	2826.83	3638.54	3065.82
27	Cost C <sub>3</sub>	26086.85	31095.14	40023.95	33724.03
28	Main produce	30130.00	35650.00	46431.00	39100.00
29	By-produce	1575.00	2880.00	4250.00	3337.00
30	Value of Total Produce	31705.00	38530.00	50681.00	42437.00
31	Per quintal cost of production	1690.10	1637.95	1598.77	1607.13

#### Table 2. Per hectare cost, returns from Bajra (Rs/ha)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Small	Medium	Large	Overall
1	Main Produce (qtl/ha)	13.10	15.50	20.10	17.00
	By-produce (qtl/ha)	3.50	6.00	8.50	7.10
2	Value of main produce	30130.00	35650.00	46431.00	39100.00
	Value of by-produce	1575.00	2880.00	4250.00	3337.00
3	Gross Returns	31705.00	38530.00	50681.00	42437.00
4	Cost of cultivation at				
	Cost A <sub>1</sub>	14145.61	17396.25	22339.85	18812.38
	Cost A <sub>2</sub>	14145.61	17396.25	22339.85	18812.38
	Cost B <sub>1</sub>	15980.31	19276.25	24670.99	20822.98
	Cost B <sub>2</sub>	21337.81	25587.91	32981.35	27785.41
	Cost C <sub>1</sub>	18357.81	21956.65	28075.06	23695.78
	Cost C <sub>2</sub>	23715.31	28268.31	36385.42	30658.21
	Cost C <sub>3</sub>	26086.85	31095.15	40023.96	33724.03
5	Net Returns at				
	Cost A <sub>1</sub>	17559.39	21133.75	28341.15	23624.62
	Cost B <sub>1</sub>	15724.69	19253.75	26010.01	26614.02
	Cost B <sub>2</sub>	10367.19	12942.09	17699.65	14651.59
	Cost C <sub>1</sub>	13347.19	16573.35	22605.94	18741.22
	Cost C <sub>2</sub>	7989.69	10261.69	14295.58	11778.79
	Cost C <sub>3</sub>	5618.15	7434.85	10657.04	8712.97
6	Input-Output ratio				
	Cost A <sub>1</sub>	2.24	2.21	1.79	2.26
	Cost A <sub>2</sub>	2.24	2.21	1.79	2.26
	Cost B <sub>1</sub>	1.98	2.00	2.05	2.04
	Cost B <sub>2</sub>	1.49	1.51	1.54	1.53
	Cost C <sub>1</sub>	1.73	1.75	1.81	1.79
	Cost C <sub>2</sub>	1.34	1.36	2.24	1.38
	Cost C <sub>3</sub>	1.22	1.24	1.26	1.25

The reason for this was that in the case of large farmers, the gross income was least but the cost of production was also least.

The per hectare cost, returns and profitability of Bajra cultivation was worked out as per standard cost concept, and is presented in Table 2. It is revealed that per hectare main produce of Bajra for small, medium and large farmer was 13.1, 15.5 and 20.1 quintals respectively. At overall level, it was 17 q/ha. The gross returns from Bajra were Rs 31705, Rs. 38530 and Rs. 50681 for small, medium and large group. At overall level, the gross return was Rs. 42437. Whereas the cost of cultivation at  $C_3$  of these groups have been estimated to be Rs.26086.85, Rs.31095.15 and Rs.40023.96 respectively. The overall cost required for cultivation of Bajra at cost  $C_3$  was Rs. 33724.03. The per hectare net returns at cost  $C_3$  received by small, medium and large cultivator was Rs.5618.15, Rs.7434.85 and Rs.10657.04. At an overall level, the net return was Rs 8712.04. The benefit-cost ratio at cost  $C_3$ for small, medium and large group Bajra grower was 1.22, 1.24 and 1.26 respectively. The overall benefit cost ratio was 1.25. Similar results were found by Badal P. S., Singh R.P. [2]., Chaudhari et al. [4], Suresh Kumar et al. [6] and Swati Kumari et al. [7]

# 4. SUMMARY

Per hectare cost  $A_1$  was highest in larger farmer i.e. Rs. 22339.85 followed by medium size group (Rs 17396.25) and small size group (Rs.14145.61) Per hectare total cost of cultivation of Bajra i.e. cost C<sub>3</sub> was higher in large size group i.e. Rs. 40023.96 Followed by small size group (Rs.26086.85) and medium size group (Rs.31095.15) respectively and at overall level it was Rs. 33724.03.

The Input-Output ratio of Bajra cultivation at cost  $C_3$  was higher in large size group i.e. 1.26 followed by medium size group i.e. 1.24 and small size group i.e. 1.22 and at overall level it was 1.25.

# 5. CONCLUSIONS

Per hectare total cost of cultivation of Bajra i.e. cost  $C_3$  was higher in large size group i.e. Rs.40023.96 Followed by small size group (Rs.26086.85) and medium size group (Rs.31095.15) respectively and at overall level it was Rs. 33724.03. With an increase in holding size, the cost of hired labor rose but the cost of family labor declined because small farmers relied more on family labor to complete farm activities on time, while large farmers had to rely on hired labor.

The Input-Output ratio of Bajra cultivation at cost  ${}^{\circ}C_{3}{}^{\circ}$  was 1.22 in small group, 1.24 in medium group and 1.26 in large group. This indicates that, cultivation of Bajra crop was economically beneficial.

# **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image

generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Gedam PJ, Sarap SM, Mahadik KA and Godage AJ. Economics of production of tomato in Nagpur District. 2023;12(4): 1314-1316.
- 2. Badal PS, Singh RP. Technological change in Maize production: A case study of Bihar. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics. 2001;56(1):211-219.
- 3. Dawit L, Basavaraja H. Production and marketing of wheat in Dharwad district. Indian Journal of Agricultural Marketing. 2004;18:74-79.
- 4. Chaudhari DD, Thakur KP, Pandya SP. Cost of cultivation of Summer Bajra in Banaskantha district of Gujart state, International Journal of Current Research. 2015;7(05):15512-15516.
- Pagare KH, More SS and Pallab Debnath. Cost and Profitability of rabi jawar cultivation in Marathwada region. Journal of Progressive Agriculture. 2013; 4(2): 6-9.
- 6. Suresh Kumar PS, Patel KS, Asodia PS and Parmar VK. Input use, cost structure, returns and resource use efficiency analysis of Wheat crop in South Gujrat, India. International Journal of Agriculture Extension. 2014; 2(1):5-12
- Swati Kumari, Rahaman SM, Subhransu Nayak, Kumar Varun Vijay, and Sargam Swami. Opportunity of Pearl millet (Bajra) production: A case study in Bihar. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021; SP-10(10):272-276.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121385