



Genetic Divergence Study in Different Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Genotypes under Irrigated and Drought Stress Condition

Sirisha Bora ^{a*}, Manthur Sreeramulu Manjunath ^b,
Vinod Kumar ^c, Sulochna ^{d++}, C. I. Maurya ^e,
Dhruvendra Singh Sachan ^{f#} and Ashok Kumar Koshariya ^{b†}

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, M.S. Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Odisha, India.

^b Department of Plant Pathology, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar, Punjab, India.

^c Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, NIPB- IARI, New Delhi, India.

^d Department of Agronomy, Agriculture College Garhwa, Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi, Jharkhand-834006, India.

^e Department of Seed Science and Technology, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, India.

^f Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i81931

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99346>

Original Research Article

Received: 08/03/2023

Accepted: 11/05/2023

Published: 20/05/2023

⁺⁺ Asst. Prof.-cum-jr.Scientist;

[#] Research Scholar;

[†] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: borasirisha258@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

Thirty rice genotypes were evaluated to study genetic divergence under irrigated and drought stress conditions in randomized block design at Bagusala farm, M. S. Swaminathan School of Agriculture, CUTM, Odisha, during summer 2019. The main objective of this experiment was to identify reproductive phase drought tolerant genotypes through study the effect of drought stress on yield, yield attributing and biochemical traits performance of selected genotypes using Mahalanobis D^2 statistics. Set of 30 genotypes were grouped in to five and nine clusters under irrigated and drought situation. Under irrigated conditions cluster- I was largest cluster with 22 genotypes followed by cluster- II includes 5 genotypes cluster-III, IV and V each consisted with only one genotype. Under drought condition, genotypes were grouped into nine different clusters, cluster- I was the largest cluster involving 20 genotypes followed by cluster- II having 3 genotypes whereas, cluster-III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII and IX were recorded with single genotype. The maximum intra cluster distances under irrigated conditions were found in cluster- II (27111.9) followed by cluster- I (17587.7). Whereas, in case of stress cluster- II had maximum distance (21110.9) followed by cluster- I (17957.8). Under irrigated maximum inter-cluster distances recorded between cluster- II and V (62310.8) followed by cluster-IV and V (57656.0). In case of stress maximum inter cluster distances recorded between cluster - II and VII (69865.5) followed by cluster- VIII and IX (67848.9), cluster- II and IX (65239.2). Under irrigate conditions highest manifestation towards genetic divergence was exhibited by chlorophyll followed by plant yield, 1000 grain weight, proline, number of spikelets, carbohydrates, grain weight, phenols, panicle length, panicle weight, flavonoids, number of filled grains, plant height and number of tillers. In case of drought stress, maximum percentage contribution towards to the genetic divergence was observed for Proline followed by carbohydrates, plant height, plant yield, grain weight, chlorophyll, number of spikelets, flavonoids, 1000grain weight, filled grains, phenols, panicle weight, panicle length and number of tillers, while other trait like root length did not contribute to the total divergence. The genotypes grouped under cluster I, III, V, VIII namely MTU1010, MTU1075, MTU1224, RNR2465 and Manipur black rice exhibited maximum drought tolerance under stress conditions. These genotypes can be used as the donor parents for development of drought tolerant lines in crop improvement programme.

Keywords: Genetic divergence; inter cluster; intra cluster; crop improvement; drought.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important food crop and a primary food source for more than one third of the world's population. It is a self-pollinated crop belonging to family Poaceae with a genome size of 430 mb. Globally, rice production is more than 470 million metric tons where India is contributing 112.91 million tons production occupying 60.09 million hectares area with the productivity of 2578kg/ha (Agricultural statistics briefly 2018). Due to its wide distribution and adaptability, serves as an excellent model system for studying plant evolutionary with a broad range of morphological, physiological, and developmental diversity found in both *Oryza sativa* and its widely distributed wild ancestors, *Oryza rufipogon* and *Oryza nivara* [1]. Rice, being a water dependent crop, it severely affected by drought stress that depresses yield by 15 – 50% depending on the vigour and period of stress [2]. Rainfed area includes 13% upland ecosystem, 11% deep

water ecosystem and 25% rainfed lowland ecosystem of the total rice area [3]. Drought is the second most sever limiting factor [4]. In upland rice, depth of rooting, root thickness and root-shoot dry weight ratio are related to drought resistance [3]. In general, responses to drought are numerous and interconnected. It is well established that drought stress impairs numerous metabolic and physiological processes in plant which ultimately resulted in reduce plant growth, loss of chlorophyll pigments, accumulation of osmolytes, etc. [5-12]. Improving drought tolerance and productivity is the most difficult task for cereal breeders because of the diverse strategies adopted by plants at various stages of development among the species and cultivars to cope with water stress. To develop the tolerant cultivars based on genetic divergence studies followed with the D^2 technique developed by Mahalanobis had been found to be a potent tool in quantifying the degree of divergence in germplasm. This analysis provides a measurement of relative contribution of different

components on diversity both at inter-cluster and intra-cluster level and genotypes. It also helps in the development of superior recombinants [13]. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to determine the genetic diversity of thirty rice genotypes under drought condition.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out by using 30 rice genotypes (Table 1) in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications under optimum irrigation and drought stress conditions at the instructional farm of Bagusala. Centurion University of technology and Management (CUTM) Odisha Paralakhemundi. Plot size for each genotype was 3m x 2m with spacing of 15 x 15 cm. The recommended agronomic practices were followed up to tillering stage. After tillering stage irrigation was withheld for 10 days in one

set of experiment to impose artificial drought. Recorded the data on fifteen morphological characters under both conditions. Such morphological traits include Root length at 35 DAS (cm), Plant height at maturity stage (cm).

Number of tillers per plant, Panicle length (cm), Panicle weight (g), Number of spikelets per panicle, filled grains per panicle, grain weight per panicle (g), 1000 grain weight (g) and Yield per plant (g). Various chemical analysis was also conducted for leaf sample of 90 days old were used for chlorophyll [14], phenols [15], flavonoids [16] and proline [17] estimation. While harvested rice grains were used for estimation of carbohydrate content from both well irrigated and drought field by following Anthron method [18]. The recorded data of individual plant basis was subjected to the version 9.2. by using software window-stat.

Table 1. List of genotypes

Sl. No	Genotype	Source of genotype
1	BPT2231	Srikakulam (A.P)
2	BPT3291	Local area of Kotturu (A.P)
3	MTU7029	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
4	MTU1010	West Godavari (A.P)
5	RNR2465	Parlakhemundi (OR)
6	MTU1224	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
7	PLA1100	Srikakulam (A.P)
8	CR DHAN	Srikakulam (A.P)
9	SAMPATH	Parlakhemundi (OR)
10	MTU1061	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
11	MTU3626	Local area of Kotturu (A.P)
12	POOJA	Local area of Kotturu (A.P)
13	MTU1075	Local area of west Godavari (A.P)
14	RGL2537	Srikakulam (A.P)
15	MTU2716	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
16	SARALA	Parlakhemundi (OR)
17	BPT5204	Srikakulam (A.P)
18	MTU1064	West Godavari (A.P)
19	MTU4001	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
20	MTU1156	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
21	MTU1121	West Godavari (A.P)
22	MTU1001	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
23	MTU1201	Maruteru Rice Research Station (A.P)
24	TELANGANA SANNALU	Hyderabad (Telangana)
25	RJL	Srikakulam (A.P)
26	KUDRUTH-5	Odisha
27	MANIPURIBLACK RICE	Manipur (Imphal)
28	BASMATI	Srikakulam (A.P)
29	SMALL-CR	Parlakhemundi (OR)
30	BALIMA	Odisha

3. RESULTS

The analysis of variance bare significant differences among the genotypes for all the attributes indicating presence of variability between the genotypes for the characters studied. The D^2 values between genotypes were calculated as sum of squares of the differences between the mean values of all the characters studied and were used for clustering of the genotypes. The Tocher method [19] used to grouped the 30 genotypes into five and nine clusters under control and drought situation respectively. The clustering pattern presented in Tables (2, 3)

3.1 Cluster Classification under Control and Drought

The genetic divergence results revealed by from the genotypes in to various clusters, under irrigated conditions cluster- I was largest cluster with 22 genotypes (BPT3291, MTU 3626, MTU 7029, RGL2537, CR-Dhan1009, MTU1064, MTU1010, Basmati, MTU1001, BPT 2231, Sarala, PLA1100, RJL, Sampath, MTU1061, MTU1121, MTU1201, Kudruth-5, Telangana, Balima, MTU2716 and BPT5204 followed by cluster-II includes 5 genotypes (MTU1156, Smaller CR, MTU4001, MTU1224, and RNR2465). Cluster-III (MTU1075), IV (Manipur black rice) and V (Pooja) each consisted with only one genotype.

Table 2. Clustering patterns of thirty genotypes of rice on basis of D^2 statistics under control condition

Cluster number	Number of genotypes	Genotypes
I	22	BPT3291, MTU 3626, MTU 7029, RGL2537, CR- Dhan1009, MTU1064, MTU1010, Basmati, MTU1001, BPT2231, Sarala, PLA1100, RJL, Sampath, MTU1061, MTU1121, MTU1201, Kudruth-5, Telangana, Balima, MTU2716, BPT5204
II	5	MTU1156, Smaller CR, MTU4001, MTU1224, RNR2465
III	1	MTU1075
IV	1	Manipur black rice
V	1	Pooja

Table 3. Clustering pattern of thirty genotypes of rice on basis of D^2 statistics under stress condition

Cluster number	Number of genotypes	Genotypes
I	20	CR-Dhan1009, MTU1061, MTU1001 MTU1064, Basmati, MTU1010, Sampth, MTU1121, BPT2231, Sarala, RJL, MTU3626, BPT3291, PLA1100, MTU1201, Telangana, Pooja, Kudruth-5, Balima and Small CR
II	3	MTU4001, MTU1156, BPT5204
III	1	MTU1224
IV	1	MTU7029
V	1	Manipur Black rice
VI	1	RNR 2465
VII	1	RGL 2537
VIII	1	MTU1075
IX	1	MTU2716

Under drought condition, genotypes were grouped into nine different clusters, cluster-I was the largest cluster involving 20 genotypes (CR-Dhan1009, MTU1061, MTU1001 MTU1064, Basmati, MTU1010, Sampath, MTU1121, BPT2231, Sarala, R.JL, MTU3626, BPT3291, PLA1100, MTU1201, Telangana, Pooja, Kudruth-5, Balima and Small CR) followed by cluster-II having 3 genotypes (MTU4001, MTU1156 and BPT5204). Whereas, cluster-III (MTU1224), IV (MTU7029), V (Manipur Black rice) VI (RNR 2465), VII (RGL 2537), VIII(MTU1075) and IX (MTU2716) were recorded with single genotype.

3.2 Mean Intra and Inter Cluster Distance under Control and Drought

The maximum intra cluster distances under irrigated conditions were found in cluster- II (27111.9) followed by cluster-I (17587.7). Whereas, in case of stress cluster -II had maximum distance (21110.9) followed by cluster- I (17957.8). The mean intra and inter cluster distance under control and drought were presented in Tables (4, 5).

Maximum inter-cluster distances recorded between cluster-II and V (62310.8) followed by cluster-IV and V (57656.0). While, lowest inter cluster distance observed between cluster-I and III (29847.8) under normal conditions. In case of stress maximum inter cluster distances recorded between cluster -II and VII (69865.5) followed by cluster- VIII and IX (67848.9), cluster-II and IX (65239.2) whereas, lowest distance was found between the cluster -V and VIII (14737.9).

3.3 Nearest and Closest Clusters Distance under Irrigated and Drought

Under irrigated condition, cluster- I was nearest to cluster - III (172.7) and distant from cluster- V (202.8). Cluster-II exhibited close propinquity with cluster- I (191.4) and far away from cluster- V (249.6). Similarly, Cluster-III was in proximity with cluster- I (172.7) and distant from cluster -IV (127.5). Cluster-IV was nearest to cluster- I (178.0) and far away from cluster- V (240.1). Cluster- V was very closer to cluster- III (191.5) and distant from cluster- II (249.6). Under stress condition, the cluster- I was nearest to cluster- IV (162.6) and far away from cluster - VI (196.1). Cluster-II exhibited close propinquity with cluster- I (190.7) and far away from cluster- VII (264.3). Cluster-III was closed to cluster- IV (144.9) and distant from cluster- VII (205.9). Cluster-IV was closed to cluster- β (144.9) and distant from cluster- η (205.8). Cluster- δ was nearest to cluster - ϵ (121.4) and far away from cluster- χ (209.2). Cluster- ϵ was in proximity with cluster- δ (143.4) and distant from cluster - γ (242.6). Cluster- ϕ was nearest to cluster- γ (157.5) and far away from cluster - α (264.3). Cluster- γ near to cluster- δ (121.4) and far away from cluster - η (260.4). Cluster- η exhibited close propinquity with cluster- ϵ (173.1) and far away from cluster- γ (260.4) Tables (6, 7).

3.4 Contribution of Different Traits towards Genetic Divergence under Control and Drought Condition

The percent contribution of each character towards divergence under irrigated and drought situation were presented in Tables (8, 9).

Table 4. Average intra (diagonal) and inter cluster (off diagonal) distance (D^2) of five clusters from thirty rice genotypes under control condition

Cluster Distances	Cluster- I	Cluster- II	Cluster- III	Cluster- IV	Cluster- V
Cluster- I	17587.7 (132.6)	36636.6 (191.4)	29847.8 (172.7)	31705.8 (178.0)	41134.4 (202.8)
Cluster - II		27111.9 (164.6)	38474.6 (196.1)	50382 (224.4)	62310.8 (249.6)
Cluster- III			0 (00.0)	47309.6 (217.5)	36698.7 (191.5)
Cluster- IV				0 (00.0)	57656 (240.1)
Cluster- V					0 (00.0)

Table 5. Average intra (diagonal) and inter cluster (off diagonal) distance (D²) of nine clusters from thirty rice genotypes under stress condition

Cluster	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
I	17957.8 (134.0)	36374 (190.7)	26858.4 (163.8)	26448.8 (162.6)	27566.3 (166.0)	38487.8 (196.1)	32078.8 (179.1)	31675.2 (177.9)	36131.8 (190.0)
II		21110.9 (145.2)	51446.5 (226.8)	39272.3 (198.1)	44752 (211.5)	49057.5 (221.4)	69865.5 (264.3)	60197.3 (245.3)	65239.2 (255.4)
III			0 (00.0)	20999.8 (144.9)	27214.9 (164.9)	27871.2 (166.9)	42407.3 (205.9)	32806.1 (181.1)	35523.8 (188.4)
IV				0 (00.0)	43773.2 (209.2)	33405 (182.7)	32361.8 (179.8)	34043.7 (184.5)	42389.3 (205.8)
V					0 (00.0)	20581.6 (143.4)	42857.1 (207.0)	45142.7 (121.4)	38480 (196.1)
VI						0 (00.0)	43246.7 (207.95)	58882.3 (242.6)	29996.8 (173.1)
VII							0 (00.0)	24836 (157.5)	35406.7 (188.1)
VIII								0 (00.0)	67848.9 (260.4)
IX									0 (00.0)

Table 6. The nearest and farthest clusters from each cluster based on D values in rice genotypes under control conditions

Cluster No.	Nearest cluster with D values	Farthest cluster with D value
I	III (172.7)	V (202.8)
II	III (196.1)	V (249.6)
III	V (191.5)	IV (121.5)
IV	I (178.0)	V (240.1)
V	III (191.5)	II (249.6)

Table 7. The nearest and farthest clusters from each cluster based on D values in rice genotypes under stress conditions

Cluster No.	Nearest cluster with D values	Farthest cluster with D value
I	IV (162.6)	IX (190.9)
II	IV (198.1)	VII (264.3)
III	IV (144.9)	VII (205.9)
IV	VII (179.8)	V (209.2)
V	VI (143.4)	IX (196.1)
VI	IX (173.1)	VII (242.6)
VII	VII (157.5)	IX (188.1)
VIII	V (121.4)	IX (260.4)
IX	VI (173.1)	VIII (260.4)

Under irrigate conditions highest manifestation towards genetic divergence was exhibited by chlorophyll (16.09%) followed by plant yield (15.63%), 1000 grain weight (11.03%), proline (11.03%), number of spikelets (7.59%), carbohydrates (7.36%), grain weight (5.52%), phenols (5.29%), panicle length (5.06%), panicle weight (4.60%), flavonoids (3.68%), number of filled grains (3.22%), plant height (2.30%) and number of tillers (1.61%). The trait root length did not show any contribution to the total divergence. Under drought stress, maximum percentage contribution towards the genetic divergence was observed for Proline (17.01%) followed by carbohydrates (11.03%), plant height (9.98%), plant yield (9.20%), grain weight (9.20%), chlorophyll (8.97%), number of spikelets (7.13%), flavonoids (6.67%), 1000grain weight (5.06%), filled grains (4.37%), phenols (3.91%), panicle weight (3.91%), panicle length (2.07%) and number of tillers (1.61%), while other trait like root length did not contribute to the total divergence.

3.5 Mean Performances of Characters in Clusters

Under control, the genotypes present in cluster –II resulted with maximum mean value of root length (25.43 cm) whereas, its minimum value observed in cluster- (22.17 cm). The mean performance of plant height found

maximum in genotypes of cluster- δ (120 cm) and minimum was noticed in cluster-V (85.55 cm). The cluster- β includes the greater number of tillers (14.33) whereas, genotypes of cluster - noticed with a smaller number of tillers (9.47). Genotypes grouped in cluster- β exhibited maximum panicle length (24.67cm) while it was minimum in cluster - δ (18.13 cm). Panicle weight was found maximum in cluster- χ (5.27g) and minimum in cluster - $\bar{\gamma}$ (3.02 g).

Maximum number of spikelets per panicle (160.33) were recorded in the genotypes of

cluster - IV while, it was minimum in cluster- V (115.0) genotypes grouped in cluster -IV noticed with maximum number of filled grains (144.8) whereas, genotypes confined to cluster -V had a smaller number of filled grain (109.23) also grain weight per panicle were found maximum in cluster -IV (5.08) and less weight in cluster - I (2.83), 1000 grain weight were more in cluster -IV (33.33) and less in cluster - I (22.71), pant yield were found high in cluster - III (24.13) and low in cluster - I (11.18).

Table 8. Contribution of individual characters towards genetic divergence under control conditions

Source	Times ranked 1 st	Contribution %
Root length (cm)	0	0.00%
Plant height (cm)	10	2.30%
Number of tillers per plant	7	1.61%
Panicle length (cm)	22	5.06%
Panicle weight (g)	20	4.60%
No of spikelet per panicle	33	7.59%
Filled grain per panicle	14	3.22%
Grain wt per panicle (g)	24	5.52%
1000 grain wt. (g)	48	11.03%
Yield per plants (g)	68	15.63%
Flavonoids (mg/g)	16	3.68%
Phenols (mg/g)	23	5.29%
Proline (μ moles/g)	48	11.03%
Carbohydrates (mg/g)	32	7.36%
Chlorophyll (mg/g tissue)	70	16.09%

Table 9. Contribution of individual characters towards genetic divergence under stress conditions

Source	Times ranked 1 st	Contribution %
Root length (cm)	0	0.00%
Plant height (cm)	43	9.89%
Number of tillers/ plants	7	1.61%
Panicle length (cm)	9	2.07%
Panicle weight (g)	17	3.91%
No of spikelet per panicle	31	7.13%
Filled grain per panicle	19	4.37%
Grain wt per panicle (g)	40	9.20%
1000 grain wt. (g)	22	5.06%
Yield per plants (g)	40	9.20%
Flavanoides (mg/g)	29	6.67%
Phenols (mg/g)	17	3.91%
Proline (μ moles/g)	74	17.01%
Carbohydrates (mg/g)	48	11.03%
Chlorophyll (mg/g tissue)	39	8.97%

Genotypes grouped in Cluster- χ noticed maximum number of flavonoids (18.78), minimum was found in cluster- δ (12.05), the amount of phenol compounds high in cluster- χ (18.8) and low in cluster- α (15.61) whereas, the proline content was found high in cluster χ (64.33), low proline content was found in genotypes confined to cluster -II (46.13). Maximum carbohydrates were noticed in cluster- χ (16.33) while, its lowest value recorded for genotypes grouped under cluster-V (9.05) and chlorophyll content in cluster -IV was found to be high (12.55) but low in cluster- (8.69).

Under drought, maximum mean values for traits root length were found for cluster- γ (27.53) while, minimum in cluster - η (19.2), maximum plant height was found for genotypes present in cluster - δ (111.67) and minimum in cluster- χ (77.67), also maximum number of tillers was found in cluster - γ (11.67) whereas, genotypes grouped in cluster η (5.33) appeared with low number of tillers. Maximum panicle lengths were found in cluster - δ (24.67) while minimum lengths in cluster -VII (16.33), maximum panicle weight was found in cluster - δ (4.41) and minimum in genotypes confined to cluster- χ (2.06).

Cluster mean for number of spikelets per panicle was highest in cluster - δ (141.15) and lowest in cluster - α (113.44). Number of filled grains were found maximum for the genotypes in cluster - ε (126.67) and minimum were recorded in cluster - (99.27), also grain weight per panicle were found maximum in cluster- δ (4.21) and lowest in cluster - χ (1.93), 1000 grain weight were found maximum in cluster - δ (32.33) and minimum in cluster - χ (15.07). Maximum plant yield was found in genotypes grouped in cluster - γ (22.64) and it was minimum in cluster- χ (9.87).

Genotypes grouped under Cluster -V was found with maximum flavonoids (26.80) and it was found minimum in cluster- χ (13.3). The amount of phenol compounds recorded high in cluster- γ (31.73) and low in cluster- χ (20.9) whereas the highest value of proline content was noticed in cluster - δ (144.68) and its lowest value was found in genotypes confined to cluster - ϕ (72.63). Maximum amount of carbohydrates was noticed in cluster- δ (16.8) while, it was lowest for genotypes grouped under cluster- χ (7.56). The chlorophyll content in cluster - ε was found to be highest (8.55) and lowest in cluster- α (3.21). Tables (10,11)

Table 10. Cluster means performances of fifteen characters of thirty rice genotypes under control conditions

Characters	Cluster I	Cluster II	Cluster III	Cluster IV	Cluster V
Root length (cm)	22.17	25.43	22.23	24.53	24.07
Plant height (cm)	86.61	90.41	88.73	120	83.55
Number of tillers/ plants	9.47	9.87	14.33	12.67	13.33
Panicle length (cm)	19.43	22	24.67	24.27	18.13
Panicle weight (g)	3.02	3.9	4.46	5.27	3.14
No of spikelet/ panicle	123.66	134.67	150	160.33	115
Filled grain/panicle	112.97	127.35	140.27	144.8	109.23
Grain wt. /panicle (g)	2.83	3.67	4.21	5.08	2.91
1000 grain wt. (g)	22.71	26.58	28.2	33.33	24.33
Yield/ plants (g)	11.18	16.5	24.13	22.15	17.38
Flavanoides (mg/g)	12.65	14.67	18.63	18.78	12.05
Phenols (mg/g)	15.73	15.61	18.7	18.8	17.87
Proline (μ moles/g)	49.57	46.13	62.33	64.33	55.27
Carbohydrates (mg/g)	9.74	12.84	12.57	16.33	9.05
Chlorophyll (mg/g tissue)	8.69	9.47	12.04	12.55	10.65

Table 11. Clusters mean performances of fifteen characters of thirty rice genotypes under stress conditions

Characters	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
Root length (cm)	22.07	23.44	20.27	20.93	22.73	22.17	25.5	27.53	19.27
Plant height (cm)	85.56	87.55	90.09	77.67	111.67	100.25	86.58	91.12	84.21
Number of tillers/ plants	7.26	6	8	5.67	9.67	9	7.7	11.67	5.33
Panicle length (cm)	17.68	16.89	21.67	17.63	24.67	22	16.33	24.17	16.9
Panicle weight (g)	2.76	2.76	3.42	2.06	4.41	4.33	2.74	3.79	3.25
No of spikelet/ panicle	114.38	113.44	138	129.33	141.15	139.33	122.33	135.6	137.78
Filled grain/panicle	99.27	100.42	125	106.2	120.07	126.67	100.47	121	120.3
Grain wt /panicle (g)	2.56	2.57	3.06	1.93	4.21	4.09	2.55	3.54	3.08
1000 grain wt. (g)	22.35	22.33	21.8	15.07	32.33	29.67	22.13	26.5	22.9
Yield/ plants (g)	11.36	10.04	15.92	9.87	19.36	20.4	11.83	22.64	10.23
Flavanoides (mg/g)	17.11	17.69	25.67	13.3	26.8	26.23	13.78	20.5	18.9
Phenols (mg/g)	24.11	25.55	31	20.9	31	27.5	21.11	31.73	21.1
Proline (μ moles/g)	97.2	104.14	107.09	85	144.68	105.27	72.63	101.84	94.75
Carbohydrates (mg/g)	9.08	11.09	13.47	7.57	16.8	15.37	8.3	10.23	8.5
Chlorophyll (mg/g tissue)	5.13	3.21	8.22	5.25	8.54	8.55	3.47	7.47	4.24

4. DISCUSSION

The genetic divergence between the genotypes was estimated by using Mahalanobis D2 statistical analysis. In present investigation 30 rice genotypes were grouped in to five clusters under control and nine clusters under drought stress conditions suggesting that there was a considerable amount of diversity existed in experimental material used under study. 84 Distances within clusters represent the genetic diversity among each cluster. The inter cluster distance were found minimum between cluster α and β under control and in case of drought between δ and VIII indicating close relationship and similarity for most of the traits with the genotypes belongs to this cluster. Thus, selection of these genotypes will be resulted with less divergent genotypes as the out of these crosses. The maximum inter cluster distance was found between cluster α and δ under control and between cluster in α and ϕ case of drought stress condition. This represents that there was a wide genetic diversity between the genotypes of these clusters. Selection of parents from these diverse clusters for hybridization programme would help in achieving novel recombinants. In order to select the genetically diverse genotypes for hybridization, the genotypes should be screened with important traits having high percent of contribution towards genetic divergence. The traits with high contribution towards genetic divergence under control conditions include chlorophyll, plant yield and 1000 grain weight. In case of drought stress proline, carbohydrates, and plant yield were resulted. Present findings are also like the

findings of Garg et al., [20], Pervin et al., [21] and Gaurav et al., [22]. The highest mean values for most of the yield attributing and biochemical traits under control were found in cluster- IV and in case of stress it was noticed in cluster-V. To obtain a best diverge genotype it always depends on the selection of superior parents with high mean value within the cluster will be a rewarding in getting diverge genotypes with best performing traits. The traits with maximum contribution towards genetic divergence and high cluster mean with maximum inter cluster distance along with the genotypes present in clusters can be selected for hybridization programme. The confirmatory results were like findings of Perween et al., [23], Garg et al., [20] and Pervin et al., [21,24].

5. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that genotypes MTU1010, MTU1075, MTU1224, RNR2465 and Manipur black rice exhibited maximum drought tolerance under stress conditions. Might be useful as donors in future breeding programmers for development of drought tolerant varieties.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Zhao K, Wright M, Kimball J, Eizenga G, McClung A, Kovach M, Tyagi W, Ali ML,

- Tung CW, Reynolds A, Bustamante CD, Mc Couch SR. Genomic diversity and introgression in *Oryza sativa* reveal the impact of domestication and breeding on the rice genome. *Plos One*. 2010; 5(5):10780.
2. Kumar A, Bernier J, Verulkar S, Lafitte HR, Atlin GN. Breeding for drought tolerance: Direct selection for yield, response to selection and use of drought tolerant donors in upland and lowland-adapted populations. *Field Crops Res*. 2008; 107(3):221–31.
 3. Fukai S, Cooper M. Developments of drought resistant cultivars using physiomorphological traits in rice. *Field Crops and Research*. 1995;40:67-86.
 4. Caldo RA, Sabastian LS, Hernandez JE. Morphology based genetic diversity analysis of ancestral lines of rice in Philippine rice cultivars. *Philippines Journal of Crop Sciences*. 1996;21(3):86-92.
 5. Lima ALS, DaMatta FM, Pinheiro HA, Totola MR, Loureiro ME. Photochemical responses and oxidative stress in two clones of *Coffea canephora* under water deficit conditions. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2002;47: 239-247.
 6. Colom MR, Vazzana C. Photosynthesis and PS II functionality of drought resistant and drought sensitive weeping love grass plants. *Environment and Experimental Botany*. 2003;49:135-144.
 7. Souza RP, Machado EC, Silva JAB, Logoa AMMA, Silveira JAG. Photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and some associated metabolic changes in cowpea during water stress and recovery. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2004;51:45-56.
 8. Ekmekci Y, Bohms A, Thomson JA, Mundree SG. Photochemical and antioxidant responses in leaves of *Xerophyta viscosa* baker and *Digitaria sanguinalis* L. under water deficit. *Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung*. 2005; 60c:435-443.
 9. Li R, Guo P, Michael B, Stefania G. Evaluation of chlorophyll content and fluorescence parameters as indicators of drought tolerance in barley. *Agriculture in Science in China*. 2006;5:751-757.
 10. Nayyar H, Gupta D. Differential sensitivity of C3 and C4 plants to water deficit stress: Association with oxidative stress and antioxidants. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2006;58:106-113.
 11. Yang X, Chen X, Ge Q, Li B, Tong Y, Zhang A, Li Z, Kuang T, Lu C. Tolerance of photosynthesis to photo inhibition, high temperature and drought stress in flag leaves of wheat a comparison between a hybridization line and its parents grown under field conditions. *Plant Science*. 2006;171:389-397.
 12. Efeoglu B, Ekmekci Y, Cicek N. Physiological responses of three maize cultivars to drought stress and recovery. *South African Journal of Botany*. 2009; 75:34-42.
 13. Manonmani S, Fazlullah Khan AK. Studies on combining ability and heterosis in rice. *Madras Agric. J*. 2003a;90:228-231.
 14. Arnon DI. *Plant Physiol*. 1949;24:1.
 15. Malick CP, Singh MB. In: *Plant Enzymology and Histo Enzymology*. KalyaniPublishers. 1980:286.
 16. Zhishen J, Mengcheng T, Jianming W. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. *Food Chemistry*. 1999;64:555-559.
 17. Bates LS, Waldeen RP, Teare ID. *Plant Soil*. 1973:205.
 18. Hedge JE, Hofreiter BT. *Carbohydrate chemistry* 17. Whistler RL, Be Miller JN, Eds., Academic Press, New York; 1962.
 19. Rao CR. *Advanced Statistical methods in biometrical research*. Jhon Wiley and Sons Inc.,New York; 1952.
 20. Garg HS, Kumari P, Bhattacharya C, Panja S, Kumar R. Genetic parameter estimation for yield and yield related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) with drought tolerance trait under stress conditions. *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 2017;13(1):83-88.
 21. Pervin MS, Halder T, Khalequzzaman M, Kader MA, Aditya TL, Yesmeen R. Genetic diversity and screening of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes for drought tolerance at reproductive phase. *Bangladesh Rice Journal*. 2017;21(1):27-34.
 22. Gaurav TA, Peraju P, Rathore N. Genetic divergence among rice genotypes for drought tolerance. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Photochemistry*. 2017;6(6):510-513.
 23. Perween S, Kumar A, Singh SP, Kumar M, Kumar RR.. Genetic variability parameters for yield and yield related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa*. L.) under irrigated and

- drought stress condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020(a);9(2): 1137-1143.
24. Mahalanobis PC. On the generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of the National Institute of Science of India. 1936;2:49-55.

© 2023 Bora et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99346>