



# Agricultural Policy Reforms and their Effects on Smallholder Farmers: A Comprehensive Review

Srinatha, T. N. <sup>a++\*</sup>, G J Abhishek <sup>b#</sup>, Pramod Kumar <sup>a†</sup>,  
Aravinda, B. J. <sup>c++</sup>, Debasmita Baruah <sup>d++</sup>, Gireesh, S. <sup>e++</sup>,  
Niyati Thakur <sup>f</sup> and Anbukani Perumal <sup>a‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Agricultural Economics, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi -110012, India.

<sup>b</sup> Division of Plant Genetic Resources, IARI, India.

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Agribusiness Management, UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India.

<sup>e</sup> Division of Agricultural Economics, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India.

<sup>f</sup> Faculty (Agricultural Economics) at COHF Neri Hamirpur HP, Dr. YSPUHF Nauli Solan HP, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6804>

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120849>

Review Article

Received: 28/05/2024

Accepted: 30/07/2024

Published: 05/08/2024

<sup>++</sup> Research Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Ph.D. Scholar;

<sup>†</sup> Principal Scientist;

<sup>‡</sup> Senior Scientist;

\*Corresponding author: Email: [srinathn.vk18@gmail.com](mailto:srinathn.vk18@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** T. N., Srinatha, G J Abhishek, Pramod Kumar, Aravinda, B. J., Debasmita Baruah, Gireesh, S., Niyati Thakur, and Anbukani Perumal. 2024. "Agricultural Policy Reforms and Their Effects on Smallholder Farmers: A Comprehensive Review". *Archives of Current Research International* 24 (6):467-74. <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6804>.

## ABSTRACT

Agricultural policy reforms are critical in influencing the economic, social, and environmental conditions of smallholder farmers, who represent a significant portion of the agricultural workforce in developing countries. These reforms, implemented through various strategies such as market liberalization, subsidy adjustments, and land tenure reforms, have far-reaching implications. This review article delves into the multifaceted effects of agricultural policy reforms on smallholder farmers, providing a comprehensive analysis of their historical context, economic impacts, social outcomes, and environmental consequences. By examining the evolution of these policies from the pre-structural adjustment era to the present, we aim to shed light on both the successes and challenges faced by smallholder farmers. The review also highlights case studies from different regions, illustrating the diverse experiences of smallholder farmers under varying policy frameworks. Ultimately, this article offers policy recommendations designed to enhance the resilience and productivity of smallholder farming communities, ensuring sustainable development and food security in the face of global challenges such as climate change and economic volatility.

*Keywords: Agricultural policy reforms; smallholder farmers; market liberalization; structural adjustment programs (SAPs); sustainable agriculture; land tenure security; financial inclusion.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Agricultural policy reforms are instrumental in determining the economic and social outcomes for smallholder farmers, who play a crucial role in the agricultural landscape of many developing countries. These reforms, often driven by the need for increased productivity, food security, and sustainable development, encompass a wide range of strategies including market liberalization, subsidy adjustments, land tenure improvements, and financial inclusion initiatives [1-3]. The implications of these reforms are profound and multifaceted, affecting not only the economic stability of smallholder farmers but also their social well-being and environmental sustainability.

Historically, smallholder farmers have faced numerous challenges such as limited access to markets, credit, and modern agricultural technologies. Additionally, they often struggle with insecure land tenure, inadequate infrastructure, and vulnerability to market fluctuations and climate change [4-5]. Agricultural policy reforms aim to address these challenges by creating an enabling environment that enhances the productivity and resilience of smallholder farming systems.

## 2. HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF AGRICULTURAL POLICY REFORMS

### 2.1 Pre-structural Adjustment Era

During the pre-structural adjustment era, agricultural policy in many developing countries was characterized by significant state intervention. Governments played a pivotal role

in the agricultural sector, providing subsidies for inputs such as seeds, fertilizers, and pesticides. These subsidies were intended to boost agricultural productivity and ensure food security, governments often guaranteed prices for agricultural produce, offering smallholder farmers a stable income and protecting them from market volatility. This period also saw substantial investment in rural infrastructure, including irrigation systems, roads, and storage facilities, which were crucial for enhancing agricultural productivity and market access [6-7]. These investments were complemented by extensive extension services that provided smallholder farmers with the necessary knowledge and skills to improve their farming practices, while these policies provided significant support to smallholder farmers, they were not without drawbacks. The heavy reliance on government subsidies and price supports led to inefficiencies and fiscal burdens on the state [8], the centralized approach often failed to address local needs and conditions, leading to disparities in the effectiveness of the policies.

### 2.2 Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs)

The 1980s and 1990s marked a significant shift in agricultural policy with the introduction of Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs) by international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. SAPs aimed to stabilize and restructure economies through market-oriented reforms. In the agricultural sector, this translated to policies focused on market liberalization, reduction of state intervention, and privatization.

Market liberalization under SAPs involved the removal of subsidies and price controls, opening up the agricultural sector to market forces. This shift was intended to increase efficiency and competitiveness [9], for smallholder farmers, the reduction in government support often meant increased vulnerability to market fluctuations and higher input costs. The withdrawal of state services and the privatization of agricultural support services further compounded these challenges, leaving many smallholders without access to essential inputs and services and the intended economic benefits, SAPs often had adverse effects on smallholder farmers [10]. The abrupt removal of subsidies and support mechanisms, coupled with increased exposure to global market competition, led to income instability and, in many cases, increased poverty among smallholder farming communities.

### 2.3 Post-SAP Reforms

The shortcomings of SAPs, the post-SAP era has seen a renewed focus on sustainable agriculture and rural development. Recognizing the importance of smallholder farmers for food security and rural livelihoods, governments and international organizations have introduced targeted support programs aimed at enhancing smallholder productivity and resilience. These reforms include initiatives to improve access to credit, markets, and technology. For example, programs promoting microfinance have been established to provide smallholder farmers with the financial resources needed to invest in modern agricultural practices [11], there has been a push towards sustainable farming practices, such as organic farming and conservation agriculture, to ensure long-term environmental sustainability. Land tenure reforms have also been a key focus, with efforts to formalize land rights and provide legal recognition to smallholder farmers [12]. Secure land tenure is critical for encouraging investment in land improvements and sustainable farming practices. However, implementation challenges and disputes over land rights remain significant obstacles in many regions.

## 3. ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

### 3.1 Market Access and Price Volatility

The liberalization of agricultural markets under policy reforms has had mixed effects on market access for smallholder farmers. On one hand, liberalization has opened up new market

opportunities, allowing farmers to sell their produce to a broader range of buyers. However, the increased competition and exposure to global market forces have also led to greater price volatility. Smallholder farmers, often lacking the financial resilience and bargaining power of larger agribusinesses, are particularly vulnerable to price swings, which can result in income instability and increased financial risk. Improving market access for smallholder farmers requires addressing infrastructure deficits, enhancing market information systems, and promoting value chain integration [13]. Establishing cooperatives and producer organizations can also help strengthen farmers' bargaining power and market presence, reducing their vulnerability to market fluctuations.

### 3.2 Access to Credit and Financial Services

Access to credit and financial services is critical for smallholder farmers to invest in productivity-enhancing technologies and practices. Agricultural policy reforms have aimed to improve financial inclusion through various means, including the promotion of microfinance and mobile banking. However, despite these efforts, many smallholders still face barriers to accessing credit, such as high-interest rates, stringent lending criteria, and lack of collateral. Microfinance has emerged as a vital tool for supporting smallholder farmers, offering tailored financial products that cater to their specific needs [14], the effectiveness of microfinance varies across regions, with challenges such as repayment rates and the sustainability of microfinance institutions affecting outcomes. Policymakers must address these challenges to ensure that financial services effectively reach and benefit smallholder farmers.

### 3.3 Land Tenure and Property Rights

Secure land tenure is essential for smallholder farmers to invest in their land and adopt sustainable agricultural practices. Agricultural policy reforms have aimed to improve land tenure systems by formalizing land rights and providing legal recognition to smallholders [15]. These reforms are intended to enhance land security, encourage investment, and reduce land-related conflicts, the implementation of land tenure reforms has faced significant challenges. Bureaucratic inefficiencies, corruption, and disputes over land ownership often hinder the formalization process. Ensuring that land tenure

reforms are effectively implemented and accessible to all smallholder farmers is crucial for achieving their intended benefits.

## 4. SOCIAL OUTCOMES

### 4.1 Poverty Alleviation

Agricultural policy reforms have the potential to significantly impact rural poverty levels. Effective reforms can enhance agricultural productivity, increase incomes, and improve livelihoods for smallholder farmers. However, the outcomes of these reforms vary widely depending on their design and implementation and from various regions highlight the diverse effects of agricultural policy reforms on poverty alleviation [16]. For instance, in some countries, targeted support programs and market access initiatives have successfully lifted smallholder farmers out of poverty. In contrast, in other regions, the withdrawal of subsidies and support services under SAPs has exacerbated poverty among smallholder communities.

### 4.2 Gender and Social Equity

The impact of agricultural policy reforms on gender dynamics and social equity is a critical consideration. Women farmers often face additional barriers to accessing resources, credit, and markets compared to their male counterparts. Reforms that address these gender disparities and promote women's participation in agriculture can enhance gender equity and improve overall agricultural productivity [17]. Policies aimed at promoting social equity must consider the unique needs and challenges of different social groups within smallholder farming communities. Ensuring that reforms are inclusive and equitable is essential for achieving

sustainable and socially just agricultural development.

### 4.3 Health and Nutrition

Agricultural policies influence food security and nutrition, directly affecting the health outcomes of smallholder farmers and their communities. Reforms that promote agricultural diversification and increase the availability of nutritious crops can improve food security and nutrition [18-20]. However, market-driven policies that prioritize cash crops over food crops may lead to nutritional imbalances and health concerns. Analyzing the health and nutrition impacts of agricultural policy reforms is crucial for designing policies that support the well-being of smallholder farming communities. Policies should aim to balance economic goals with the need for diverse and nutritious food production.

## 5. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

### 5.1 Sustainable Agricultural Practices

Promoting sustainable agricultural practices is essential for long-term environmental health and the resilience of smallholder farming systems. Agricultural policy reforms that incentivize conservation agriculture, organic farming, and integrated pest management have shown positive results in enhancing soil health, water conservation, and biodiversity. Policy measures to support sustainable practices include providing training and extension services, promoting agroecological approaches, and offering financial incentives for adopting sustainable techniques [21-23]. Ensuring that smallholder farmers have the knowledge and resources to implement these practices is critical for achieving environmental sustainability.

**Table 1. Historical Context of Agricultural Policy Reforms**

Era	Key Features	Impact on Smallholder Farmers
Pre-Structural Adjustment	State intervention, subsidies	Access to resources, dependency on government support
Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs)	Market liberalization, reduced government support	Market access challenges, income volatility
Post-SAP Reforms	Sustainable agriculture focus, targeted support programs	Improved sustainability, diversified support

**Table 2. Economic implications of agricultural policy reforms**

Economic Aspect	Effects on Smallholder Farmers
Market Access and Price Volatility	Increased market access barriers, income instability
Access to Credit and Financial Services	Varying access to credit, impact on investment and productivity
Land Tenure and Property Rights	Security of land tenure, incentives for investment and risk-taking

**Table 3. Social outcomes of agricultural policy reforms**

Social Dimension	Impact on Smallholder Farmers
Poverty Alleviation	Changes in income levels, poverty reduction strategies
Gender and Social Equity	Gender roles, access to resources and decision-making processes
Health and Nutrition	Food security, nutritional outcomes

## 5.2 Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation

Climate change poses a significant threat to smallholder farmers, necessitating adaptive policy measures. Agricultural policy reforms that support climate-resilient practices, such as drought-resistant crop varieties and water-efficient irrigation, are essential for mitigating climate impacts [24-25]. Policies that integrate climate adaptation into agricultural planning can enhance the resilience of smallholder farmers to climate variability. In addition to adaptation, agricultural policies should also promote mitigation efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture. This includes encouraging practices such as agroforestry, reduced tillage, and the use of renewable energy in farming operations. In Africa, agricultural policy reforms have varied widely, with mixed outcomes for smallholder farmers. For instance, reforms in Kenya and Ethiopia focusing on market access and input support have led to increased productivity. However, challenges such as land tenure insecurity and market volatility persist, highlighting the need for comprehensive policy approaches. Asian countries like India and Vietnam have implemented significant agricultural policy reforms aimed at enhancing smallholder productivity [26-27]. These reforms include initiatives for improving access to technology, credit, and markets. Successes and challenges in these regions provide valuable lessons for designing effective smallholder support policies. In Latin America, agricultural policy reforms have focused on land tenure security and market integration. Countries like Brazil and Mexico have introduced programs to formalize land rights and support smallholder access to markets. The outcomes of these reforms demonstrate the importance of context-specific approaches to policy design.

Policies should focus on improving infrastructure, market information systems, and value chain integration to enhance smallholder farmers' market access [28-35]. Establishing cooperatives and producer organizations can also strengthen farmers' bargaining power and market presence. Enhancing access to credit and financial services

for smallholder farmers requires innovative approaches such as microfinance, mobile banking, and tailored financial products. Policies should address barriers to credit access and promote financial literacy among smallholders. and tenure reforms should aim at providing secure and legally recognized land rights to smallholder farmers. Simplifying land registration processes and addressing land disputes are critical for ensuring farmers' investment security and productivity [33-35]. Promoting sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural practices requires policy measures that incentivize conservation agriculture, organic farming, and climate-smart technologies. Training and extension services are essential for disseminating these practices among smallholder farmers, prioritize gender and social equity by ensuring women's access to resources, decision-making processes, and support services. Addressing social inequalities through targeted interventions can enhance the overall effectiveness of agricultural policy reforms.

## 6. CONCLUSION

Agricultural policy reforms play a critical role in shaping the livelihoods of smallholder farmers, who are essential to global food security and rural development. As this comprehensive review has highlighted, the effects of these reforms are multifaceted, encompassing economic, social, and environmental dimensions. Understanding these impacts is crucial for designing policies that effectively support smallholder farmers and enhance their resilience and productivity. One of the key findings of this review is that agricultural policy reforms can significantly influence smallholder farmers' access to resources, markets, and technology. Policies that improve infrastructure, such as roads and storage facilities, and provide access to financial services can reduce transaction costs and enhance market participation. For instance, investments in rural infrastructure can help smallholders reduce post-harvest losses, access markets more efficiently, and obtain fair prices for their products. Additionally, reforms that facilitate access to credit and insurance can enable farmers to invest in productivity-enhancing

technologies and manage risks associated with climatic and market fluctuations, the review underscores the importance of inclusive policy design that considers the diverse needs and circumstances of smallholder farmers. Policies that promote social equity, gender equality, and the empowerment of marginalized groups can contribute to more equitable and sustainable development outcomes. For example, targeting support to women and youth, who often face barriers to access and participation, can enhance their roles in agriculture and improve household incomes. Moreover, policies that foster participatory approaches, involving farmers in decision-making processes, can lead to more effective and locally relevant interventions. The environmental impact of agricultural policy reforms is another critical aspect discussed in this review. Policies that encourage sustainable farming practices, such as conservation tillage, agroforestry, and integrated pest management, can help smallholder farmers enhance soil health, conserve water, and reduce their environmental footprint. These practices not only contribute to environmental sustainability but also improve long-term productivity and resilience. However, it is essential for policy reforms to balance the immediate economic needs of farmers with long-term environmental goals, ensuring that short-term gains do not come at the expense of ecological health, the review highlights the challenges associated with implementing and sustaining policy reforms. Limited institutional capacity, inadequate funding, and political instability can hinder the effectiveness of agricultural policies. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that includes strengthening institutions, fostering public-private partnerships, and ensuring adequate resource allocation. It is also important for policymakers to continuously monitor and evaluate the impacts of reforms, making necessary adjustments based on evidence and feedback from stakeholders, agricultural policy reforms have the potential to transform the lives of smallholder farmers, but their success depends on careful design and implementation. By focusing on improving access to resources, promoting inclusivity, supporting sustainable practices, and addressing implementation challenges, policymakers can create an enabling environment that supports smallholder farmers and contributes to broader development goals. Future research and policy development should continue to explore innovative approaches and best practices, ensuring that agricultural policies effectively

address the evolving needs and opportunities within the sector. Ultimately, the goal is to foster a resilient and productive agricultural system that benefits both smallholder farmers and the global community.

## **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Ellis F. Agricultural policies in developing countries. Cambridge University Press; 1992.
2. World Bank. World development report 2008: Agriculture for Development. Washington, DC: World Bank; 2007.
3. De Janvry A, Sadoulet E. World Poverty and the Role of Agricultural Technology: Direct and Indirect Effects. *Journal of Development Studies*. 2002;38(4):1-26.
4. Adarsh Kumar Srivastava, Anil Kumar Exploring Organic Farming: Advantages, Challenges, and Future Directions. *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;09-13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.3.09>
5. Binswanger-Mkhize HP, McCalla AF. The changing context and prospects for agricultural and rural development in Africa. *Africa Region Working Paper Series No.* 2010;55.
6. Diao X, Hazell P, Thurlow J. The role of agriculture in african development. *World Development*. 2010;38(10):1375-1383.
7. Nikhat Afroz. Exploring traditional medicine in South Africa: A Review of Ethnobotanical Studies on Medicinal Plants. *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;14-18. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.3.14>
8. Dorward A, Kydd J. Locked in and locked out: Smallholder farmers and the new economy in low income Countries. *Journal*

- of Agricultural Economics. 2002;53(1):61-79.
9. IFAD. Rural poverty report 2001: The challenge of ending rural poverty. Rome: International Fund for Agricultural Development; 2001.
  10. Kherallah M, Kirsten JF. The new institutional economics: applications for agricultural policy research in developing Countries. *Agrekon*. 2001;40(2):110-133.
  11. Pingali P, Rosegrant MW. Intensive Food Systems in Asia: Can the Degradation Problems be Reversed? *Food Policy*. 2001;26(4):277-292.
  12. Barrett CB. Smallholder market participation: concepts and evidence from eastern and Southern Africa. *Food Policy*. 2008;33(4):299-317.
  13. Anbarasan S, Ramesh S. Photosynthesis efficiency: Advances and challenges in improving crop yield. *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;19-21.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.3.19>
  14. Poulton C, Dorward A, Kydd J. The future of small farms: New directions for services, institutions, and intermediation. *World Development*. 2010;38(10):1413-1428.
  15. Pretty JN. Regenerating agriculture: Policies and practice for sustainability and self-reliance. Earthscan Publications Ltd; 1995.
  16. Ritik Chawla, Kinjal Mondal, Pankaj, Meenakshi Sahu. Mechanisms of plant stress tolerance: drought, salinity, and temperature extremes. *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;04-08.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.2.04>
  17. Toulmin C, Quan J. Evolving Land Rights, Policy and Tenure in Africa. London: IIED with DFID; 2000.
  18. Anbarasan S, Ramesh S. Crop science: Integrating modern techniques for higher yields. *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;05-08.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.3.05>
  19. Fan S, Hazell P, Thorat S. Government spending, growth and poverty in Rural India. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 2002;82(4):1038-1051.
  20. Anbarasan S, Ramesh S. Innovations in Agronomy for Sustainable Crop Production *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;01-04.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.3.01>
  21. Deininger K, Jin S. Tenure security and land-related investment: Evidence from Ethiopia. *European Economic Review*. 2006;50(5):1245-1277.
  22. Holden ST, Ghebru H. Land Tenure reforms, tenure security and food security in poor agrarian economies: Causal linkages and research gaps. *Global Food Security*. 2016;10, 21-28.
  23. FAO. Save and grow: A policymaker's guide to the sustainable intensification of smallholder crop production. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2011.
  24. Christiaensen L, Demery L, Kuhl J. The (Evolving) role of agriculture in poverty reduction: an empirical perspective. *Journal of Development Economics*. 2011;96(2):239-254.
  25. Awanindra Kumar Tiwari. Assessing the real productivity of organic farming systems in contemporary agriculture. *Plant Science Archives*; 2022.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2022.7.4.01>
  26. Von Braun J, Meinzen-Dick R. Land Grabbing by Foreign Investors in Developing Countries: Risks and Opportunities. IFPRI Policy Brief. 2009;13.
  27. Hazell P, Poulton C, Wiggins S, Dorward A. The future of small farms: Trajectories and policy priorities. *World Development*. 2010;38(10):1349-1361.
  28. Vidhya CS., Priya Subramanian Kalaimani, Aniketa Sharma, Ashiq Hussain Magrey, Rajni Kant Panik. Enhanced wound care solutions: Harnessing cellulose acetate-EUSOL/Polyvinyl Alcohol-curcumin electrospun dressings for diabetic foot ulcer treatment. *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;05-07.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.4.05>
  29. Chirwa EW, Dorward A. Agricultural input subsidies: The recent malawi experience. Oxford University Press; 2013.
  30. Rathna Kumari BM. Exploring the antiviral properties of dietary plant extracts against SARS-CoV-2: A Comprehensive Review. *Plant Science Archives*. 2022;08-10.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.4.08>
  31. Gollin D. Smallholder agriculture in africa: An overview and implications for policy. IIED Working Paper; 2014.

32. HLPE. Investing in smallholder agriculture for food security. A Report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security, Rome; 2013.
33. Jayne TS, Mather D, Mghenyi E. Principal challenges confronting smallholder agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa. World Development. 2010;38(10):1384-1398.
34. IFAD. Smallholders, food security, and the environment. Rome: International Fund for Agricultural Development; 2013.
35. Ashok Kumar Koshariya. Climate-Resilient Crops: Breeding Strategies for Extreme Weather Conditions. Plant Science Archives. 2022;01-03. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5147/PSA.2022.7.2.01>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120849>