Assessment of Genetic Divergence in Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Genotypes in Western U.P

Rupesh Kumar [a*], Bijendra Singh [a], Harshit Tomar [a], Sudhanshu Singh [a] and Veersain [a]

[a] College of Horticulture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh-250110, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out with 20 genotypes of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) at Horticulture Research Centre (HRC) of SVPUAT, Meerut, U.P, during 2021-22 to identify the nature and magnitude of genetic divergence among genotypes based on phenotypical traits using the multivariate analysis. The experiment was laid down in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The experiment has ten observations based on five randomly selected plants from each replication. D^2 analysis grouped all 20 varieties into five clusters revealing the presence of considerable amount of variation among genotypes. Cluster pattern revealed that, clusters I and II had the highest number of 5 genotypes succeeded by cluster III with 4 genotypes, cluster IV and cluster V containing three genotypes each. Maximum intra cluster distances were recorded in cluster I (D^2 =2.264) indicating maximum difference among the genotypes within the cluster and minimum intra cluster distance was recorded in cluster V (D^2=1.481) whereas, Maximum inter-cluster distance (D^2=5.211) was observed between cluster II and IV and the minimum was observed (D^2=2.373) was observed between cluster III and V. The cluster means revealed the cluster IV was the best cluster for various characters like plant height, number of pods per plant, pod length, days to maturity and pod yield, providing upon the aim of breeding. Hence the potential genotype can opt from different clusters as parents.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: thakurrupesh70939@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

The vegetable is increasingly becoming important as produced in domestic and export markets. Although most of them are good sources of minerals, vitamins and protein necessary for the needs of minerals, vitamins and the like, they have great potential to improve nutrition and therefore consumer's health [1].

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is an important legume vegetable grown worldwide. It is a native of the Mediterranean region, with Near East and Ethiopia as secondary centres [2]. Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) (2n = 14) is a self-pollinated crop and belongs to the family Leguminosae. It is an excellent season crop most extensively grown in the temperate region throughout the world. Peas are used alone and mixed with other vegetables. It is developed principally as a winter vegetable in the fields of North Indian conditions and as a late spring vegetable in the bumpy area [3]. It is a highly nutritious vegetable and contains digestible protein 7.2g, carbohydrates 15.8g, fat 0.1 g, vitamins like vitamin C 9 mg, vitamin A 139 IU and minerals like calcium 20.0 mg phosphorus 139 mg, iron 1.5 mg [4]. Being a short-duration crop, it is highly utilized for crop rotation and it can enhance the soil structure and provides breaks for disease control [5]. To supply transgressive segregants, genetically different parents must to be selected for recombination breeding in self-pollinated crops. Morphological attributes have long supported the characterization of genetic diversity in crop species .However, morphological variation is usually found to be of limited use because the expression of morphological attributes could also be littered with environmental conditions, thereby constraining the analysis of genetic variation [6]. On the idea of morphological data, genetic diversity assessments need a high precision of field experiments through recommended design and analysis so that the germplasm is also exploited to develop better genotypes for the upcoming scenario [7]. The present study attempts to obtain information on the genetic diversity in twenty pea genotypes and assess their utility in developing heterotic combination for commercial purposes.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

The present investigations were carried out at Horticulture Research Centre, SVPUAT, Meerut in the RBD method with three replications during the Rabi season of 2021-22 by taking 20 diverse genotypes of pea (Table 1). The observations were recorded on five random competitive plants per replication for each genotype of ten important characters viz. days to germination, days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of pods per plant, seed per pod, length of the pod (cm), the width of the pod (cm), days to maturity, pod yield per plant (g) and pod yield (q/ha). The Mahalanobis D^2 [8] statical method was used to quantify genetic diversity among the genotypes. The D^2 values were used to classify the entire germplasm into distinct clusters, following to Tocher's method Rao [9].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for 20 genotypes disclosed significant differences for all the ten characters, representing a broad spectrum of variability among the genotypes represented in Table 2. Based on Mahalanobis D^2 values, all the twenty genotypes of pea under study were grouped in to 5 cluster, s shown in Table 3. The Clustering pattern of pea genotypes was grouped into five clusters. The maximum number of genotypes five distributed in clusters I and II each. Cluster I have genotypes namely Kashi Mukti, Kashi Nandani, Arkel, AP-3 and Kashi Ageti. Cluster II also have 5 varieties Pant Matar-2, Kashi Samradhi, Mithi Phali, PC-531 and Kashi Uday, followed by Cluster III, which have four varieties named viz.Arka Ajit, Pusa Pragati, Arka Kartik, and VL-3. Cluster IV has three varieties, namely Kashi Shakti, Kashi Samrath and Bonneville and cluster V also has three varieties named viz. Arka Sampoorna, Arka Priya and Solan Nirog.

The average intra and inter-cluster D^2 value and average Intra and inter-cluster distance value are presented in Table 4. The maximum inter-cluster D^2 (5.211) was observed between clusters II and IV. In contrast minimum inter-cluster distance (2.373) was observed between clusters III and V.

Table 1. List of 20 genotypes used in experiment

S. No.	Genotypes	Institute
1.	Kashi Shakti	I.I.V.R, Varanasi
2.	Kashi Mukti	I.I.V.R, Varanasi
3.	Kashi Samrath	I.I.V.R, Varanasi
4.	Kashi Nandini	I.I.V.R, Varanasi
5.	Bonneville	USA
6.	Pant Matar-2	G.B.P.U.A.T, Pantnagar
7.	Arka Ajit	I.I.H.R, Bangalore
8.	Arkel	England
9.	Arka Sampoorna	I.I.H.R, Bangalore
10.	Kashi Samridhi (VRPMR-11)	I.I.V.R, Varanasi
11.	Mithi Fali	PAU, Ludhiyana
12.	Arka Priya (IIHR-1)	I.I.H.R, Bangalore
13.	Azad Pea -3	C.S.A.U.A.T , Kanpur
14.	Pusa Pragati	I.A.R.I, New Delhi
15.	PC-531	PAU, Ludhiyana
16.	Kashi Ageti	I.I.V.R, Varanasi
17.	Solan Nirog	U.H.F, Solan.
18.	Arka Kartik	I.I.H.R, Bangalore
19.	Kashi Uday	I.I.V.R, Varanasi
20.	VL-3	V.P.K.A.S, Almora

Table 2. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) mean sum of square for ten parameters of pea

Source of variation	D.F.	Days to Germination	Days to 50% Flowering	Plant height (cm)	Number of Pods per plant	Seeds per Pods	Length of Pod (cm)	Width of Pod (cm)	Days to Maturity	Pod yield per plant (gm)	Pod yield (q/ha)
Replication	2	0.019	1.20	2.68	0.12	0.115	0.341	0.002	0.57	0.81	0.53
Treatment	19	0.693**	242.63**	627.84**	25.83**	0.939**	0.856**	0.014**	544.89**	374.77**	598.54**
Error	38	0.040	1.43	2.15	0.10	0.056	0.033	0.001	1.12	1.32	2.23
Total	59	0.249	79.10	203.66	8.39	0.343	0.308	0.005	176.21	121.57	194.20

Table 3. Clustering pattern of 20 genotypes of pea based on Mahalanobis D^2 statistics

Clusters	No. of genotypes	Genotypes
I	5	Kashi Mukti, Kashi Nandani, Arkel, Azad Pea-3, Kashi Ageti
II	5	Pant Matar-2, Kashi Samradhi, Mithi Phali, PC-531, Kashi Uday
III	4	Arka Ajit, Pusa Pragati, Arka Kartik, VL-3
IV	3	Kashi Shakti, Kashi Samrath, Bonneville
V	3	Arka Sampoorna, Arka Priya, Solan Nirog

Table 4. Average Inter and intra cluster (D2) distances in twenty germplasms of pea

Clusters	I	II	III	IV	V
I	2.264				
II	3.607	2.233			
III	3.419	3.066	1.605		
IV	4.746	5.211	3.449	2.129	
V	3.403	3.204	2.373	4.071	1.481

Table 5. Cluster mean for ten characters of different genotypes of pea

Clusters		Days to Germination	50% Flowering	Plant height (cm)	Number of Pods per plant	Seeds per Pods	Length of Pod	Width of Pod (cm)	Days to Maturity	Pod yield per plant (gm)	Pod yield (q/ha)
I	**Mean**	6.29	39.69	46.59	11.05	6.91	7.63	1.21	69.41	51.77	95.72
II	**Mean**	7.05	48.76	60.07	8.96	6.32	6.51	1.26	75.99	42.32	80.56
III	**Mean**	6.67	57.18	73.42	12.37	6.7	7.41	1.18	88.52	44.85	83.3
IV	**Mean**	7.13	58.69	75.61	15.78	6.98	7.64	1.21	99.44	69.16	109.74
V	**Mean**	7.16	46.91	73.08	8.13	7.24	7.53	1.17	87.47	49.75	83.01

The intra-cluster distance was recorded as maximum (2.264) in cluster I succeeded by cluster II (2.233), cluster IV (2.129) and cluster III (1.605) and minimum (1.481) in cluster V. The maximum inter-cluster D^2 values indicated that cluster II and VI genotypes were not closely related. In contrast, cluster III and V were found to be closely related due to minimum inter-cluster D^2 values. Therefore, it is evident from the modest fluctuation of D^2 values that the genotypes of the cluster do not considerably different in terms of their relative genetic distance. Hence, these genetically diverse genotypes can be used as promising parents for hybridization. Diversity among the genotypes was also calculated based on the significant difference in cluster means for various features. Nearly all ten characters showed distinct mean values for various clusters, reflecting their genetic divergence. The cluster mean Table 5 shows that the genotypes in cluster II showed maximum mean value for the width of the pod (cm). In contrast genotypes of cluster IV revealed maximum mean value for 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of pods per plant, length of pod, days to maturity, pod yield per plant (gm), pod and pod yield (q/ha) and genotypes of cluster V showed maximum mean value for days to germination and seeds per pod. Relative appraisal of the group showed that for working on unambiguous characters, the genotypes might be chosen from the cluster having a high mean incentive for that specific character. This comparison indicates that clusters IV and V had better cluster means for most characters. Therefore, these clusters might be considered better for selecting genotypes as divergent parents. Similar results were found by Tara et al. [10], Vipin et al. [11], Vipin et al. [12], Singh and Mishra [13], Shrivastava et al. [14], Supe et al. [15] and Kumar et al. [16], and Kumar and Kumar [17].

4. CONCLUSION

Based on Mahalanobis D^2 analysis, the pea germplasm in the present study can be successfully used for planning future breeding programmes. The inter-crossing of genotypes showing the greater genetic divergence for most of the characters studied should result in superior heterotic crosses and generate valuable segregants in the later generations. It is expected that better performing varieties could be generated to increase productivity in field pea. Therefore, from the present study genotypes of cluster II and cluster IV genotypes based on their high values for inter cluster distance and cluster means can be hybridized as the potential parents to produce superior off-springs in the segregating generations and improve pea productivity.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Wills R, Barry MG, Doung G, Daryl J. Post harvest; An introduction to the handling of fruits vegetable and ornamentals,4th Edition. University of New South Wales (UNSW)pressn Ltd. Australia;1998.
2. Blixt S. Pisum. In genetic resources in plants- Their Exploration and Conservation. O.H; 1970.
3. Thamburaj S, Singh N. Text book of vegetables, tuber crops and spices. ICAR, New Delhi. 2005:196-200
4. Choudhary B. Vegetables, National Book Trust, India, A-5 Green Park, New Delhi-16; 1990.
5. Martin RJ, Wilson DR, Butler RC, Riddle MU, Russell AC, Catherwood D. Improving field pea yields on farm in canterbury. Proceedings of the Agronomy. Society of New Zealand. 2008;38(4): 41-50.
6. Nisar M, Ghafoor A, Ahmad H, Khan MR, Qureshi AS, Ali H, Islam M. Evaluation of genetic diversity of pea germplasm through phenotypic trait analysis. Pak. J. Bot. 2008;40(5):2081-2086.
7. Sajjad M, Khan S, Khan AS. Exploitation of germplasm for grain yield improvement in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum).* International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2011;13:695-700.
8. Mahalanobis PC. On the generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of National Institute of Sciences (India). 1936; 2(1):49-55.
9. Rao CR. Advance Statistical Methods in Biometrical Research. Its Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York; 1952.
10. Tara Satyavati C, Bhat KV, Bhardwaj Ch, Tiwari SP, Chaudhary Vipin Kumar. "AFLP based DNA profiling and genetic diversity assessment of Indian Soybean [*Glycine max.* (L)] varieties", Genetic Resources and crop evaluation. 2006;53:1069-1079.
11. Vipin Kumar, Shailendra Sharma, Amit Kumar Sharma, Mukesh Kumar, Shiveta Sharma Sunil Malik KP, Singh KV, Bhat.

Study of genetic diversity in Indian common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) using random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. Physol. Mol. Biol. Plants. 2008;14(4):383-387.

12. Vipin Kumar, Shailendra Sharma, Shubham Kero, Shiveta Sharma, Amit Kumar Sharma, Mukesh Kumar and K. Venkataramana Bhat, "Assesment of genetic diversity in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) germplasm using Amplified Fregment Length Polymorphism (AFLP)". Scientia Horticulturae. 2008;116:138-143.

13. Singh D, Mishra VK. Studies on genetic divergence in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Agricultural Science Digest. 2008;28(1): 77 – 78.

14. Shrivastava V, Lal GM, Chandra K, Singh CM. Estimation of genetic distance in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Environment and Ecology. 2012;30(1):136-138.

15. Supe VS, Patil SD, Joshi VR. (Genetic variability and diversity studies in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). J. of Agriculture Research and Tech. 2013;38(2): 96-200.

16. Kumar, Rakesh, Kumar Manish, Dogra KR, Bharat KN. Variability and character association studies in garden pea (*Pisum sativum var. hortense* L.) Agricultural Research Communication Centre Legume Research. 2015;38(2):164-168.

17. Kumar R, Kumar M. Estimation of genetic divergence in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L. var. *hortense)* germplasm to facilitate the selection of potential parents for hybridization programmes. Legume Research. 2016; 39(5):709-712.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90846