



## A New Structure and Contribution in $D$ -metric Spaces

Ali Ahmad Ali Fora<sup>1</sup>, Mourad Oqla Massa'deh<sup>2\*</sup>  
and Mohammad Saleh Bataineh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Yarmouk University, Jordan.

<sup>2</sup>Al-Balqa Applied University, Jordan.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Sharjah, UAE.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2017/32791

*Editor(s):*

(1) Jacek Dziok, Institute of Mathematics, University of Rzeszow, Poland.

*Reviewers:*

(1) P. Sumati Kumari, National Institute of Technology, A. P., India.

(2) A. S. Salama, Shaqra University, KSA.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/18798>

*Received: 15<sup>th</sup> March 2017*

*Accepted: 12<sup>th</sup> April 2017*

*Published: 26<sup>th</sup> April 2017*

**Original Research Article**

## Abstract

In this paper, we define a new topological structure of  $D$ -closed,  $D$ -continuous and  $D$ -fixed point property and discussed of its properties, some result for this subject are also established.

*Keywords:*  $D$ -metric; supra topology.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 54E99.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of a  $D$ -metric space was introduced by Dhage in [1]. A nonempty set  $X$ , together with a function  $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $D$ -metric space, denoted by  $(X, D)$  if  $D$  satisfies the followings:

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: Mourad.oqla@bau.edu.jo;*

*This research was done while the first author has a sabbatical year from Yarmouk University*

- $D_1)$   $D(x, y, z) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y = z$  (coincidence),
- $D_2)$   $D(x, y, z) = D(p(x, y, z))$  , where  $p$  is a permutation of  $x, y, z$  (symmetry),
- $D_3)$   $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$  (tetrahedral inequality).

The nonnegative real function  $D$  is called a  $D$ -metric on  $X$ . Dhage [1] claimed that  $D$ -metric convergence defines a Hausdorff topology and that the  $D$ -metric is (sequentially) continuous in all the three variables. Many authors (see [2]-[11] and [12]-[14]) have taken these claims for granted and used them in proving fixed point theorems in  $D$ -metric spaces. For more discussion, we refer the reader to consrlt ([15]-[21] and [22], [23]). Authors of [24] gave examples to show that in a  $D$ -metric space:

- 1)  $D$ -metric convergence does not always define a topology.
- 2) Even when  $D$ -metric convergence defines a topology, it need not be Hausdorff.
- 3) Even when  $D$ -metric convergence defines a metrizable topology, the  $D$ -metric need not be continuous even in a single variable.

**Definition 1.1.** [1], [8]. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is said to be convergent (or  $D$ -convergent) if there exists an element  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n,m} D(x_n, x_m, x) = 0$ , i.e. for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D(x_n, x_m, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq j$ . In such a case,  $\{x_n\}$  is said to converge to  $x$  and  $x$  is called a limit of  $\{x_n\}$ . We shall use the notation  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} x$  to denote that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $D$ -convergent to  $x$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [1], [8]. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is said to be Cauchy ( or  $D$ -Cauchy) if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D(x_n, x_m, x_k) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m, k \geq j$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [1], [8]. A  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is said to be complete (or  $D$ -complete) if every  $D$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is  $D$ -convergent in  $X$ .

We shall use the same notation used in [24]. for  $A^c$  ,namely.

**Notation 1.1.** [24]. For a subset  $A$  of a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  ,  $A^c$  denotes the set  $\{x \in X : \text{there exists } x_n \in A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} x\}$ . For any set  $X$ ,  $P(X)$  denotes the power set of  $X$ .

S. V. R. Naidu, K.P. R. Rao, and N. Srinivasa Rao have obtained the following nice example.

**Example 1.2.** [24]. Let  $X = A \cup B \cup \{0\}$ , where  $A = \{2^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then there exists a  $D$ -metric on  $X$  such that:

- (i)  $(X, D)$  is a complete  $D$ -metric space in which  $D$ -metric convergence does not define a topology.
- (ii) There are convergent sequences in  $X$  with infinitely many limits.
- (iii) The operator  $\varphi : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  defined by  $\varphi(A) = A^c$  does not define a closure operator. More precisely,  $(B^c)^c \neq B^c$ .

## 2 $D$ -closed Sets

If  $A$  is any subset of a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  and if  $a \in A$ , then  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} a$ , where  $x_n = a$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because  $\lim_{n,m} D(x_n, x_m, a) = 0$ , Thus  $A \subseteq A^c$ . Imitating the case of sequentially closed sets we have the following.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $E$  of a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is said to be  $D$ -closed provided  $E = E^c$  ( equivalently  $E^c \subseteq E$ ), i.e. if for any  $x_n \in E$  and  $p \in X$ , if  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} p$ , then  $p \in E$ . The complement of a  $D$ -closed set is called  $D$ -open. A set in  $(X, D)$  will be called  $D$ -clopen set if it is  $D$ -closed and  $D$ -open simultaneously.

The following results are easy to observe.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $D$ -metric space. If  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} p$  then every  $D$ -open set  $H$  containing  $p$  must contain a tail of  $\{x_n\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a  $D$ -open set in  $(X, D)$  containing  $p$ . Suppose on the contrary, that  $H$  does not contain any tail of  $\{x_n\}$ . Then there exists a sequence of natural numbers  $1 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots$  such that  $x_{m_n} \notin H$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} p$  therefore  $\{x_{m_n}\} \xrightarrow{D} p$ . Since  $X - H$  is  $D$ -closed and  $x_{m_n} \in X - H$ , therefore  $p \in X - H$  which is absurd.

**Proposition 2.2.** Every finite set in a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  must be  $D$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a finite subset of  $X$  and let  $x_n \in A, p \in X$  such that  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} p$ . Then  $\lim_{n,m} D(x_n, x_m, x) = 0$ , yields the existence of a natural number  $j$  such that  $x_n = p$  for all  $n \geq j$  (i.e.  $\{x_n\}$  has a constant tail  $p, p, p, \dots$ ). Hence  $p \in A$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $D$ -metric on  $X$  having a finite range. Then every subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $D$ -closed.

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.2.

**Theorem 2.1.** The intersection of any collection of  $D$ -closed sets in a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is  $D$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a collection of  $D$ -closed sets in  $X$  and let  $x_n \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha, p \in X$  such that  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} p$ . Since  $x_n \in F_\alpha, \{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} p$  and  $F_\alpha$  is a  $D$ -closed set, therefore  $p \in F_\alpha (\alpha \in \Delta)$ . Hence  $p \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha$ . Consequently,  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha$  is  $D$ -closed.

Now it is meaningful to have the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.** If  $A$  is a subset of a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$ , we define the  $D$ -closure of  $A$  (denoted by  $D-cl(A)$  or  $cl_D(A)$ ) as the intersection of all  $D$ -closed sets in  $X$  containing  $A$ .

It is clear that  $D-cl(A)$  is the smallest  $D$ -closed set in  $X$  containing  $A$ . It is also clear that an arbitrary union of  $D$ -open sets in a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is still  $D$ -open. The fact that the subsets  $\phi$  and  $X$  are  $D$ -clopen in  $(X, D)$  is obvious. Finally, if  $A$  is a subset of  $B$  then  $cl_D(A) \subseteq cl_D(B)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [24]. A subfamily  $T^*$  of  $X$  is said to be a supra topology on  $X$  if:

- (1)  $\phi, X \in T^*$ .
- (2) If  $F_\alpha \in T^*, \alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} F_\alpha \in T^*$

$(X, T^*)$  is called a supra topological space. The elements of  $T^*$  are called supra open sets in  $(X, T^*)$  and complement of a supra open set is called a supra closed set.

**Definition 2.4.** [24]. The supra closure of a set  $A$  is denoted by supra  $cl(A)$  and is defined as supra  $cl(A) = \bigcap \{B : B \text{ is a supra closed set and } A \subseteq B\}$ . The supra interior of a set  $A$  is denoted by supra  $int(A)$ , and defined as supra  $int(A) = \bigcup \{B : B \text{ is a supra open set and } B \subseteq A\}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $D$ -metric space and  $T_D^* = \{A \subseteq X : A \text{ is } D\text{-open set in } (X, D)\}$ . Then we have the following.

- (i)  $T_D^*$  is a supra topology on  $X$ .
- (ii) Every finite subset of  $X$  is supra closed in  $(X, T_D^*)$ .
- (iii) For any  $A$  subset of  $X$ ,  $cl_D(A) = \text{supra } cl(A)$ .

Example 1.2 verifies the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** There exists a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  such that:

- (i) There exists  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $cl_D(cl_D B) \neq cl_D(B)$ .
- (ii) There exist subsets  $M, P$  of  $X$  such that  $cl_D(M \cup P) \neq cl_D(M) \cup cl_D(P)$ .

**Proof.** Indeed, if Proposition 2.3 (ii) fails then  $(X, T_D^*)$  will be a topological space and this is absurd. As in usual metric space  $(X, d)$ , the collection  $\mathfrak{S}(d)$  of all open balls is indeed a subbase for the metric topology  $T(d)$ . Fortunately,  $\mathfrak{S}(d)$  is a base for  $T(d)$ . In a similar way, the collection  $\mathfrak{S}^*(D)$  of all  $D$ -open sets in a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is a subbase for some topology  $T(D)$  on  $X$ . Unfortunately,  $\mathfrak{S}^*(d)$  need not be a base for some topology on  $X$ . So, one starts looking for properties of this topology  $T(\mathfrak{S}^*(d))$  generated by  $\mathfrak{S}^*(d)$  as a subbase (rather than a base!).

Finally, in this section, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $D$ -metric on  $X$  having a finite range. Then every subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $D$ -closed, i. e,  $D$  generates the discrete topology on  $X$ .

**Proof.** Immediate consequence of Proposition 2.3.

### 3 $D$ -continuous Functions

If  $(X, \mathfrak{S})$  and  $(Y, T)$  are topological spaces such that  $(X, \mathfrak{S})$  is first countable at  $p \in X$ . Then a function  $f : (X, \mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow (Y, T)$  is continuous at  $p$  if and only if  $f$  is sequentially continuous at  $p$  (i.e. for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging in  $(X, \mathfrak{S})$  to  $p$ , then  $\{f(x_n)\}$  must converge in  $(Y, T)$  to  $f(p)$ ).

Imitating this idea in  $D$ -metric spaces, we get the following.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  be a function between two  $D$ -metric spaces. Then  $f$  is said to be  $D_\rho$ -continuous at  $p \in X$  provided that for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging in  $(X, \mathfrak{S})$  to  $p$ , then  $\{f(x_n)\}$  must converge in  $(Y, \rho)$  to  $f(p)$ . A function  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  is called  $D_\rho$ -continuous if  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -continuous at each  $p$  in  $X$ . In the case  $X = Y$  and  $D = \rho$ , we write  $D$ -continuous instead of  $D_\rho$ -continuous.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  be a function between two  $D$ -metric spaces. Then  $f$  is said to be  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous at  $p \in X$  provided that for any  $\rho$ -open set  $H$  in  $Y$  containing  $f(p)$ , there exists a  $D$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  containing  $p$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq H$ . A function  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  is called  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous if  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous at each  $p$  in  $X$ . In the case  $X = Y$  and  $D = \rho$ , we write  $D$ -weakly continuous instead of  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous.

The following result is an analogue to a well-known result in general topology.

**Theorem 3.1.** The followings are equivalent for the function  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  between two  $D$ -metric spaces.

(i)  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous.

(ii) For any  $\rho$ -open set  $H$  in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(H)$  is  $D$ -open set in  $X$ .

(iii) For any  $\rho$ -closed set  $M$  in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(M)$  is  $D$ -closed set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $H$  be a  $\rho$ -open set in  $Y$ . For each  $x \in f^{-1}(H)$ , then  $f(x) \in H$ . Since  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous at  $x$ , there exists a  $D$ -open set  $U_x$  such that  $x \in U_x$  and  $f(U_x) \subseteq H$  (i.e.  $U_x \subseteq f^{-1}(H)$ ). Consequently,  $f^{-1}(H)$  is a union of  $D$ -open sets in  $X$ , and hence is  $D$ -open.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $M$  be a  $\rho$ -closed set in  $Y$ . Then  $Y - M$  is  $\rho$ -open set in  $Y$  and hence  $f^{-1}(Y - M)$  is a  $D$ -open set in  $X$ . Consequently,  $X - f^{-1}(Y - M)$  is a  $D$ -closed set in  $X$ , i.e.  $f^{-1}(M)$  is a  $D$ -closed set in  $X$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): To show that  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous function, let  $p \in X$  and  $H$  be any  $\rho$ -open set in  $Y$  such that  $f(p) \in H$ . Then  $Y - H$  is a  $\rho$ -closed set in  $Y$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(Y - H)$  is a  $D$ -closed set in  $X$ . Thus  $X - f^{-1}(Y - H)$  is a  $D$ -open set in  $X$  containing  $p$ . It is clear that  $f(X - f^{-1}(Y - H)) \subseteq H$ . Hence  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  be a function between two  $D$ -metric spaces. If  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -continuous then  $f$  is  $D_\rho$ -weakly continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be any  $\rho$ -closed set in  $Y$ . To prove that  $f^{-1}(M)$  is  $D$ -closed in  $X$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be any sequence in  $f^{-1}(M)$  converging in  $X$  to  $p$ . Then the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is in  $M$  and converging in  $Y$  to  $f(p)$ . The fact that  $M$  is  $\rho$ -closed set in  $Y$  forces  $f(p)$  to belong to  $M$ . Hence  $p \in f^{-1}(M)$ .

## 4 $D$ -fixed Point Property

We start this section with the following.

**Definition 4.1.** (i) A  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is said to have the  $D$ -fixed point property (abbreviated  $D$ -f.p.p.) iff every  $D$ -continuous function  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (X, D)$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

(ii) A  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is said to have the  $D$ -weakly fixed point property (abbreviated  $D$ -w.f.p.p.) iff every  $D$ -weakly continuous function  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (X, D)$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 4.1.** If a  $D$ -metric space has the  $D$ -w.f.p.p. then it has the  $D$ -f.p.p.

**Definition 4.2.** A  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is called  $D$ -disconnected provided there exists a partition  $\{A, B\}$  for  $X$  consisting of two  $D$ -closed sets in  $X$ .  $(X, D)$  is called  $D$ -connected provided it is not  $D$ -disconnected.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $D$ -metric space and  $A, B$  be two nonempty subsets of  $X$ . Then  $A, B$  are called  $D$ -separated sets provided  $A \cap cl_D(B) = B \cap cl_D(A) = \emptyset$ .

The following result is needed for the next theorem.

**Lemma 4.2.** In a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$ , if  $A, B$  are two  $D$ -separated sets in  $X$  such that  $A \cup B = X$ . Then each of them is a  $D$ -clopen set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Since  $B \subseteq cl_D(B)$  and  $A \cap cl_D(B) = \emptyset$ , therefore  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Now  $A \cup B = X$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  implies  $A = X - B$ . The fact that  $cl_D(B) \subseteq X - A = B$  implies  $B = cl_D(B)$ , i.e.  $B$  is  $D$ -closed. Similarly,  $A$  is a  $D$ -closed set in  $X$ . Consequently,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $D$ -clopen sets in  $X$ .

Now the proof of the following result becomes easy to follow.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$ .*

- (i)  $(X, D)$  is  $D$ -disconnected.
- (ii) There exists a  $D$ -clopen set  $A$  in  $X$  such that  $\emptyset \neq A \neq X$ .
- (iii)  $X$  has a partition consisting of two  $D$ -open sets.
- (iv)  $X$  has a nontrivial  $D$ -separation.
- (v) There exists a surjective  $D_\rho$ -continuous function  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (\{0, 1\}, \rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is any  $D$ -metric on  $\{0, 1\}$ .

The following result will be needed later.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $D$ -metric space and  $\{A, B\}$  be a partition of  $X$  consisting of  $D$ -closed ( $D$ -open) sets in  $X$ . Let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  be fixed elements. Then the function  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (X, D)$  defined by:  $f(A) = \{b\}$  and  $f(B) = \{a\}$ , is  $D$ -continuous (and hence  $D$ -weakly continuous).*

**Proof.** To prove that  $f$  is  $D$ -continuous, let  $\{x_n\}$  be any sequence in  $X$  and assume  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{D} p$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $p \in A$ . To prove  $\{f(x_n)\} \xrightarrow{D} f(p)$  we are going to show that  $\lim_{n,m} D(f(x_n), f(x_m), f(p)) = 0$ . To prove this, we claim that there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq j$ ,  $f(x_n) = b$  (i.e.  $x_n \in A$  for  $n$  sufficiently large). To prove our claim, suppose not, i.e. for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_j > n$  and  $x_{n_j} \notin A$  (hence  $x_{n_j} \in B$ ). Doing this, we can find an infinite sequence of natural numbers  $1 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  such that  $x_{n_j} \in B$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{n,m} D(x_n, x_m, p) = 0$  therefore  $\lim_{i,j} D(x_{n_i}, x_{n_j}, p) = 0$ . Thus  $\{x_{n_j}\} \xrightarrow{D} p$ . Now  $x_{n_j} \in B$  and  $B$  is  $D$ -closed in  $X$  implies that  $p \in B$ , this is a contradiction. Now since our claim becomes valid, i.e. a tail of  $\{x_n\}$  must be in  $A$ . Hence  $f(x_n) = b$  for all  $n$  large enough. Thus  $\lim_{n,m} D(f(x_n), f(x_m), f(p)) = 0$ , i.e.  $\{f(x_n)\} \xrightarrow{D} f(p)$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A  $D$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is called a  $D$ - $T_0$ -space provided that for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there exists a  $D$ -open set  $H$  such that  $H \cap \{x, y\}$  has exactly one element.

The following results are now ready to be proved.

**Theorem 4.5.** (i) *If  $(X, D)$  has the  $D$ -f.p.p., then it is  $D$ -connected.*  
(ii) *If  $(X, D)$  has the  $D$ -w.f.p.p., then it is  $D$ -connected.*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $D$ -metric space having the  $D$ -fixed point property. Suppose on the contrary, that  $X$  is  $D$ -disconnected. Then  $X$  has a partition  $\{A, B\}$  consisting of  $D$ -closed sets in  $X$ . Now pick  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Define  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (X, D)$  by:  $f(A) = \{b\}$  and  $f(B) = \{a\}$ . Then  $f$  is  $D$ -continuous according to Theorem 4.4. Notice that  $f$  has no fixed point and this contradicts the assumption that  $(X, D)$  has the  $D$ -w.f.p.p.

(ii) The proof is a direct consequence of (i) together with Proposition 4.2.

**Theorem 4.6.** (i) *If  $(X, D)$  has the  $D$ -f.p.p., then it is a  $D$ - $T_0$ -space.*  
(ii) *If  $(X, D)$  has the  $D$ -w.f.p.p., then it is a  $D$ - $T_0$ -space.*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $D$ -metric space having the  $D$ -fixed point property. Suppose on the contrary, that  $X$  is not a  $D$ - $T_0$ -space. Then there exist  $p, q \in X$ ,  $p \neq q$  such that for any  $D$ -open set  $H$  in  $X$ , either  $H \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset$  or  $\{p, q\} \subseteq H$ . Define  $f : (X, D) \rightarrow (X, D)$  by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } x \neq p \\ q & \text{if } x = p \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  clearly has no fixed point. To prove  $f$  is  $D$ -continuous, let  $H$  be any  $D$ -open set in  $X$ . Then  $f^{-1}(H) = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } p \in H \\ \emptyset & \text{if } p \in X - H \end{cases}$ . Notice that for any  $D$ -open set  $H$  in  $X$  we have:  $q \in H$  if and only if  $p \in H$ . Hence  $f$  is  $D$ -continuous, which gives us the contradiction.  
 (ii) The proof is a direct consequence of (i) together with Proposition 4.2.

## 5 Product of $D$ -metric Spaces

Let us start with the following.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $(X_i, D_i)$  be  $D$ -metric spaces ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and let  $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ . Define  $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as follows:

$$D((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n), (z_1, \dots, z_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(x_i, y_i, z_i).$$

Then  $D$  is a  $D$ -metric on  $X$  (we shall denote  $D$  by  $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ ).

**Proof.** Straight forward.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $D$ -metric space and  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$ . Define  $D^* : A \times A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as follows:  $D^*(x, y, z) = D(x, y, z)$ . Then  $D^*$  is a  $D$ -metric on  $A$  ( $D^*$  will be denoted by  $D^{(A)}$ ).

**Proof.** Straight forward.

The following result will be needed later.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $(X_i, D_i)$  be  $D$ -metric spaces ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and let  $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ . Define  $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as in Proposition 5.1. Let  $z_k = (x_k^{(1)}, x_k^{(2)}, \dots, x_k^{(n)}) \in X$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then  $\{z_k\} \xrightarrow{D} z = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  if and only if  $\{x_k^j\} \xrightarrow{D_j} p_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

**Proof.** Straight forward.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $(X_i, D_i)$  be  $D$ -metric spaces ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and let  $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ . Define  $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as in Proposition 5.1. If  $A_i$  is a  $D_i$ -closed set in  $(X_i, D_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$  is a  $D$ -closed set in  $(X, D)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.1.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have given the notion of a new topological structure of  $D$ -closed,  $D$ -continuous and  $D$ -xed point property and discussed of its properties, some result for this subject are also established. We hope that our results can also be extended to other topological field.

## Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- [1] Dhage BC. Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 1992;84:4:329-336.
- [2] Ahmad B, Ashraf M, Rhoades BE. Fixed point theorems for expansive mappings in D-metric spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 2001;32:10:1513-1518.
- [3] Dhage BC. On generalized metric spaces and topological structure .II. Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 1994;40(1-2):37-41.
- [4] Dhage BC. A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 1999;91(6):475-480.
- [5] Dhage BC. On common fixed points of pairs of coincidentally commuting mappings in D-metric spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 1999;30(4):395-406.
- [6] Dhage BC. Generalized metric spaces and topological structure.I. An. Stiint. Univ.Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat.(N.S.). 2000;46(1):3-24.
- [7] Dhage BC. Smarti Arya, Jeong Sheok Ume. A general lemma for fixed-point theorems involving more than two maps in D-metric spaces with applications. Int.J.Math.Math.Sci. 2003;11:661-672.
- [8] Dhage BC, Pathan AM, Rhoades BE. A general existence principle for fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2000;23(7):441-448.
- [9] Dhage BC, Rhoades BE. A comparison of two contraction principles. Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line. 1999;3(8):49-53.
- [10] Dhage BC. On common fixed points of quasi-contraction mappings in D-metric spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 2002;33(5):677-690.
- [11] Dhage BC. Proving fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces via general existence principles. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 2003;34(4):609-624.
- [12] Ume JS. Remarks on non convex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete D-metric spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 2001;32(1):25-36.
- [13] Ume JS, Kim JK. Common fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces with local boundedness. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 2000;31(7):865-871.
- [14] Veerapandi T, Chandrasekhara Rao K. Fixed points in Dhage metric spaces. Pure Appl. Math.Sci. 1996;43(1-2):9-14.
- [15] Kumari PS, Dinesh P. Cyclic contractions and fixed point theorems on various generating spaces. Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2015;153.
- [16] Kumari PS. On dislocated quasi metrics. Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology. 2012;3(2):66-75.
- [17] Kumari PS, Zoto K, Panthi D. D - neighborhood system and generalized F-contraction in dislocated metric space. Springer Plus. 2015;4(1):1-10.
- [18] Kumari PS, Ramana Ch V, Zoto K. On quasisymmetric space. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014;7(10):1583-1587.
- [19] Kumari PS, Sarma IR, Rao JM. Metrization theorem for a weaker class of uniformities. Afrika Matematika. 2016;27:3-4,667-672.
- [20] Kumari PS, Muhammad S. Some fixed point theorems in generating space of b-quasi-metric family. Springer Plus. 2016;5(1):268.
- [21] Kumari PS, et al. Fixed point theorems and generalizations of dislocated metric spaces. Indian J. Sci. Technol. 2015;8:154-158.



- [22] Sarma IR, Kumari PS. On dislocated metric Spaces. Int. J. Math. Achiev. 2012;3(1):7-27.
- [23] Sarma IR, et al. Convergence axioms on dislocated symmetric spaces. Abstract and Applied Analysis, Hindawi Publishing Corporation; 2014.
- [24] Naidu SVR, Rao KPR, Srinivasa Rao N. On the topology of D-metric spaces and generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2004;51:2719-2740.

---

© 2017 Fora et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/18798>