



# A Block Hybrid Implicit Algorithms for Solution of First Order Differential Equations

Y. A. Yahaya<sup>1</sup> and Asabe A. Tijjani<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal College of Education, Kontagora, Nigeria.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2016/19682

### Editor(s):

- (1) Qiankun Song, Department of Mathematics, Chongqing Jiaotong University, China.  
(2) Tian-Xiao He, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Illinois Wesleyan University, USA.

### Reviewers:

- (1) Mfon O. Udo, Akwa Ibom State University, Nigeria.  
(2) Kwaku Darkwah, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana.  
Complete Peer review History: <http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/12619>

Original Research Article

Received: 23 June 2015  
Accepted: 17 October 2015  
Published: 10 December 2015

## Abstract

The paper consider the derivation of block hybrid algorithms , with  $k=4$  for solution of first order ordinary differential equations, we adopted the method of interpolation and collocation of power series approximation to generate the continuous formula, which was evaluated at off grid and some grid points within the step length to generate the proposed block schemes. Also the schemes obtained were investigated and found to be consistent and zero stable. Finally the method is tested with numerical experiments to ascertain their level of accuracy.

*Keywords:* Block method; off- grid; higher order; consistent and zero stability.

## 1 Introduction

Many life and physical problems can be modeled into differential equation of the form

$$y' = f(x, y) \quad (1.0)$$

The equation (1.0) occurs in field of sciences and engineering when we come across physical and natural phenomena which, when represented by mathematical models, happen to be differential equations. Some of these differential equations do not possess the closed form solution hence the numerical computation which is the area of mathematics and computer science that creates analyses and implements algorithms for numerical or approximate solutions is adopted to obtain the solution of (1.0). Many Researchers have worked extensively in this area such as [1,2,3,4,5,6,7], to mention but a few.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [Yusuphyahaya@yahoo.com](mailto:Yusuphyahaya@yahoo.com), [ahmadtijjaniasabe@yahoo.com](mailto:ahmadtijjaniasabe@yahoo.com);

The aim of this research paper is to develop a higher order, zero stable and consistent block method at value  $k=4$ , and use it to solve some existing known problems to ascertain the level of their convergence.

**Definition 1.0:** One-Step Method (see [8]).

The method of constructing of an approximate solution using only one previous value is called one step method. The approach in this method enjoys the virtue that the step size ( $h$ ) can be changed at every iteration, if desired, thus providing a mechanism for error control. A general expression of one-step method is

$$\sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^1 \beta_j f_{n+j} \tag{1.1}$$

**Definition 1.1:** Linear Multi-step Methods

The general form of linear  $k$  –step method for first order ordinary differential equation is

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j} \tag{1.2}$$

where  $y_n$  is the numerical approximation to the exact solution at the point  $x_n$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$  are fixed numbers. The values of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$  are chosen to obtain the highest possible order of the method.

**Definition 1.3:** Zero Stability

The linear multistep method (1.2) is said to satisfy the root conditions if all the roots of the first characteristics polynomial have modulus less than or equal to unity and those of modulus unity are simple. The method (1.2) is said to be zero stable if it satisfies the root condition (see [6]).

**Theorem 1.0: Fundamental theorem of Dahlquist**

The necessary and sufficient conditions for a Linear Multistep Method (LMM) to be convergent are that it must be Consistent and Zero stable.

**Theorem 1.1: Dahlquist order barrier for LMM**

- a. A zero-stable,  $k$ -step LMM is maximum order  $P$  with  $p = (k + 1)$  when  $k$  is odd and  $k + 2$ , when  $k$  is even
- b. An explicit LMM cannot attain A-stability if the step number,  $k$  is such that  $k > 2$
- c. The Order  $P$  of an A-stable LMM cannot exceed two. In fact, the Trapezoidal rule which is of Order  $p = 2$  with step number,  $k = 1$  known for its A- stability has the smallest Error constant of  $C = \frac{1}{12}$  (see [6]).

## 2 Methodology

We assumed a power series of the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j x^j$$

which is used as our basis to produce an approximate solution to (1.0) as

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+t-1} \alpha_j x^j \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$y'(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+t-1} j\alpha_j x^j = f(x, y) \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\alpha_j$  are the parameters to be determined, and  $m$  and  $t$  are the points of collocation and interpolation respectively. This process leads to  $(m + t - 1)$  of non-linear system of equations with  $(m + t - 1)$  unknown coefficients, which are to be determined by the use of Maple 17 Mathematical software.

### 2.1 Hybrid block methods derived at $k = 4$

Using equations (2.1) and (2.2),  $m = 2, t = 7$  our choice of degree of polynomial is  $(m + t - 1)$ . Equations (2.1) is interpolated at the points  $x = \left(x_{n+\frac{3}{2}}, x_{n+\frac{4}{3}}\right)$  and equation (2.2) is collocated at  $x = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3, 4\right)$  which gives the following non-linear system of equations of the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s+t-1} \alpha_j x_{n+i}^j = y_{n+i} \quad i = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s+t-1} j\alpha_j x_{n+i}^j = f_{n+i} \quad i = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3, 4\right) \tag{2.4}$$

With the mathematical software, we obtain the continuous formulation of equations (2.3) and (2.4) of the form

$$y(x) = \alpha_3 y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \alpha_4 y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} + h \left[ \beta_0 f_n + \beta_{\frac{1}{2}} f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \beta_1 f_{n+1} + \beta_2 f_{n+2} + \beta_{\frac{5}{2}} f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \beta_3 f_{n+3} + \beta_4 f_{n+4} \right] \tag{2.5}$$

After obtaining the values of  $\alpha_j$  and  $\beta_i, j = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}\right), i = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3, 4\right)$  in (2.5)

and we evaluated it at  $x = x_{n+j} j = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3, 4\right)$  and its first derivative also evaluated at  $x = x_{n+j} j = \frac{3}{2}$ , which gives the following set of discrete schemes to form our block hybrid implicit method.

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \frac{61210624}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{82845747}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{15939762}{108175615} h f_n - \frac{579846144}{757229305} h f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1905728}{21635123} h f_{n+1} \\ &\quad - \frac{10313728}{108175615} h f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{4656120}{21635123} h f_{n+2} + \frac{2169792}{108175615} h f_{n+3} - \frac{81758}{151445861} h f_{n+4} \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{849875}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{20785248}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{4782875}{1038485904} h f_n - \frac{334507225}{1817350332} h f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{2962612775}{4673186568} h f_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{44498675}{2336593284} hf_{n+\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{5404525}{129810738} hf_{n+2} - \frac{2065585}{519242952} hf_{n+3} + \frac{6852275}{65424611952} hf_{n+4} \\
 y_{n+1} = & - \frac{22299520}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{43934643}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{14584801}{15577288560} hf_n + \frac{15681458}{1363012749} hf_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{4599823229}{23365932840} hf_{n+1} \\
 & - \frac{7040042}{584148321} hf_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{134830361}{3894322140} hf_{n+2} + \frac{17326847}{7788644280} hf_{n+3} - \frac{17027791}{327123059760} hf_{n+4} \\
 y_{n+2} = & \frac{59721728}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{38086605}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{921571}{649053690} hf_n + \frac{6477344}{454337583} hf_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{234825218}{2920741605} hf_{n+1} \\
 & - \frac{130058336}{2920741605} hf_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{101249168}{324526845} hf_{n+2} + \frac{2219618}{324526845} hf_{n+3} - \frac{324526845}{40890382470} hf_{n+4} \\
 y_{n+\frac{5}{2}} = & \frac{47319251}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{25684128}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{4297153}{15577288560} hf_n + \frac{14969563}{3894322140} hf_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{154194523}{4673186568} hf_{n+1} \\
 & + \frac{2184623651}{11682966420} hf_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{506645545}{778864428} hf_{n+2} - \frac{46505851}{7788644280} hf_{n+3} + \frac{517867}{9346373136} hf_{n+4} \\
 y_{n+3} = & \frac{67280000}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{45644877}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{940125}{346161968} hf_n + \frac{3772770}{151445861} hf_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{20883875}{173080984} hf_{n+1} \\
 & + \frac{13849250}{21635123} hf_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{37619625}{86540492} hf_{n+2} + \frac{29887635}{173080984} hf_{n+3} - \frac{1638625}{2423133776} hf_{n+4} \\
 y_{n+4} = & - \frac{634519552}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{656154675}{21635123} y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{20257960}{194716107} hf_n - \frac{1160673280}{1363012749} hf_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & + \frac{1906794880}{584148321} hf_{n+1} \\
 & - \frac{2493900800}{584148321} hf_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1225675360}{194716107} hf_{n+2} + \frac{539196800}{194716107} hf_{n+3} + \frac{995496640}{4089038247} hf_{n+4} \\
 & - 790091366400 y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + 790091366400 y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} \\
 & = 266203350 hf_n + 23223646880 hf_{n+\frac{1}{2}} - 13917147720 hf_{n+1} \\
 & - 791479584 hf_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + 17913605 hf_{n+2} + 1467011072 hf_{n+3} - 141948042003 hf_{n+4} \quad (2.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.6) are of uniform order 8, with error constant as follows

$$\left[ \frac{1369559}{81780764940}, -\frac{148732265}{50246101979136}, \frac{948754903}{807526638950400}, -\frac{52744349}{323010655580160}, \frac{100242059}{39254767171200}, \frac{58380475}{8374350329856}, -\frac{88610933}{22080865338}, -\frac{247098623}{648} \right]^T$$

### 3 Block Analysis of the Methods

The method in (2.5) is arranged in matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 0 & \frac{82845747}{21635123} & -\frac{61210624}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & \frac{21635123}{20785248} & -\frac{21635123}{849875} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & \frac{21635123}{43934643} & -\frac{21635123}{22299520} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \frac{21635123}{38086605} & -\frac{21635123}{59721728} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \frac{21635123}{25684128} & -\frac{21635123}{47319251} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \frac{21635123}{45644877} & -\frac{21635123}{67280000} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \frac{21635123}{656154675} & -\frac{21635123}{634519552} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & \frac{21635123}{790091366400} & -\frac{21635123}{790091366400} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 y_{n+1} \\
 y_{n+\frac{4}{3}} \\
 y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\
 y_{n+2} \\
 y_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \\
 y_{n+3} \\
 y_{n+4}
 \end{bmatrix}
 =
 \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 y_{n-\frac{7}{2}} \\
 y_{n-3} \\
 y_{n-\frac{8}{3}} \\
 y_{n-\frac{5}{2}} \\
 y_{n-2} \\
 y_{n-\frac{3}{2}} \\
 y_{n-1} \\
 y_n
 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+
 \begin{bmatrix}
 \frac{579846144}{757229305} & -\frac{1905728}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & \frac{4656120}{21635123} & -\frac{10313728}{108175615} & \frac{2169792}{108175615} & -\frac{81758}{151445861} \\
 \frac{334507225}{1817350332} & -\frac{2962612775}{4673186568} & 0 & 0 & \frac{5404525}{129810738} & \frac{44498675}{2336593284} & \frac{2065585}{519242952} & -\frac{6852275}{65424611952} \\
 \frac{15681458}{1363012749} & -\frac{4599823229}{23365932840} & 0 & 0 & \frac{134830361}{3894322140} & \frac{7040042}{584148321} & \frac{17326847}{7788644280} & -\frac{17027791}{327123059760} \\
 \frac{6477344}{454337583} & -\frac{234825218}{2920741605} & 0 & 0 & \frac{101249168}{324526845} & \frac{130058336}{2920741605} & \frac{2219618}{324526845} & -\frac{324526845}{40890382470} \\
 \frac{14969563}{3894322140} & -\frac{154194523}{4673186568} & 0 & 0 & \frac{506645545}{778864428} & \frac{2184623651}{11682966420} & \frac{46505851}{7788644280} & -\frac{517867}{9346373136} \\
 \frac{3772770}{151445861} & -\frac{20883875}{173080984} & 0 & 0 & \frac{37619625}{86540492} & \frac{13849250}{21635123} & \frac{29887635}{173080984} & -\frac{1638625}{2423133776} \\
 \frac{1160673280}{1363012749} & -\frac{1906794880}{584148321} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1225675360}{194716107} & \frac{2493900800}{584148321} & \frac{539196800}{194716107} & -\frac{995496640}{4089038247} \\
 \frac{23223646880}{-13917147720} & -\frac{13917147720}{0} & 0 & 0 & \frac{17913605}{17913605} & -\frac{791479584}{-791479584} & \frac{1467011072}{1467011072} & -\frac{-141948042003}{-141948042003}
 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
 f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 f_{n+1} \\
 f_{n+\frac{4}{3}} \\
 f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\
 f_{n+2} \\
 f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \\
 f_{n+3} \\
 f_{n+4}
 \end{bmatrix}
 +
 \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{15939762}{108175615} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4782875}{1038485904} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{14584801}{15577288560} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{921571}{649053690} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{4297153}{15577288560} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{940125}{346161968} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{20257960}{194716107} \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{266203350}{266203350}
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 f_{n-\frac{7}{2}} \\
 f_{n-3} \\
 f_{n-\frac{8}{3}} \\
 f_{n-\frac{5}{2}} \\
 f_{n-2} \\
 f_{n-\frac{3}{2}} \\
 f_{n-1} \\
 f_n
 \end{bmatrix}
 \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$A^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{82845747}{21635123} & -\frac{61210624}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{20785248}{21635123} & -\frac{849875}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{43934643}{21635123} & \frac{22299520}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{38086605}{21635123} & -\frac{59721728}{21635123} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{25684128}{21635123} & -\frac{47319251}{21635123} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{45644877}{21635123} & -\frac{67280000}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{656154675}{21635123} & \frac{634519552}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 790091366400 & -790091366400 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{579846144}{757229305} & -\frac{1905728}{21635123} & 0 & 0 & \frac{4656120}{21635123} & -\frac{10313728}{108175615} & \frac{2169792}{108175615} & -\frac{81758}{151445861} \\ -\frac{334507225}{1817350332} & \frac{2962612775}{4673186568} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{5404525}{129810738} & \frac{44498675}{2336593284} & -\frac{2065585}{519242952} & \frac{6852275}{65424611952} \\ \frac{15681458}{1363012749} & -\frac{4599823229}{23365932840} & 0 & 0 & \frac{134830361}{3894322140} & -\frac{7040042}{584148321} & \frac{17326847}{7788644280} & -\frac{17027791}{327123059760} \\ \frac{6477344}{454337583} & -\frac{234825218}{2920741605} & 0 & 0 & \frac{101249168}{324526845} & -\frac{130058336}{2920741605} & \frac{2219618}{324526845} & -\frac{324526845}{40890382470} \\ \frac{14969563}{3894322140} & -\frac{154194523}{4673186568} & 0 & 0 & \frac{506645545}{778864428} & \frac{2184623651}{11682966420} & -\frac{46505851}{7788644280} & \frac{517867}{9346373136} \\ \frac{3772770}{151445861} & -\frac{20883875}{173080984} & 0 & 0 & \frac{37619625}{86540492} & \frac{13849250}{21635123} & \frac{29887635}{173080984} & -\frac{1638625}{2423133776} \\ -\frac{1160673280}{1363012749} & \frac{1906794880}{584148321} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1225675360}{194716107} & -\frac{2493900800}{584148321} & \frac{539196800}{194716107} & \frac{995496640}{4089038247} \\ 23223646880 & -13917147720 & 0 & 0 & 17913605 & -791479584 & 1467011072 & -141948042003 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{15939762}{108175615} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4782875}{1038485904} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{14584801}{15577288560} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{921571}{649053690} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{4297153}{15577288560} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{940125}{346161968} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{20257960}{194716107} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 266203350 \end{bmatrix}$$

We shall normalize the block method (3.1) by multiplying matrices  $A^{(0)}$ ,  $A^{(1)}$ ,  $B^{(0)}$ ,  $B^{(1)}$  with inverse of  $A^{(0)}$  to obtain  $A^{(0)}$ ,  $A^{(1)}$ ,  $B^{(0)}$  and  $B^{(1)}$  respectively. By testing the condition of zero stability of  $\rho(R) = \det|RA^{(0)} - A^{(1)}| = 0$ , we have

$$\rho(R) = \det \left[ R \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right] = 0$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & R & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & R & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R-1 \end{pmatrix} = R^7(R-1) = 0$$

Which implies that  $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = R_6 = R_7 = 0$  and  $R_8 = 1$ . Hence from the definition (1.3), the method (3.1) is zero stable and also consistent as its order is  $[8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8]^T > 1$  and thus convergent.

### 4 Numerical Experiments

The block method derived at  $k = 4$  are demonstrated with the following problems:

Problem 1:

$$y' = -y \quad y(0) = 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad h = 0.1$$

Exact Solution:  $y(x) = e^{-x}$

Problem 2:

$$y' = xy, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad h = 0.1$$

Exact Solution:  $y(x) = e^{\frac{x^2}{2}}$

Problem 3:

$$y' + 4y = 20, \quad y(0) = 2, \quad h = 0.01$$

Exact Solution:  $y(x) = 5 - 3e^{-4x}$

**Table 1. Comparison of approximate solution of problem 1**

$x$	Exact solution	Computed result	Error in [9]	New error
0.1000	0.9048374180359595	0.904837418035945	7.36E-10	1.4988E-14
0.2000	0.818730753077982	0.818730753077965	4.78E-10	1.70974E-14
0.3000	0.740818220681718	0.740818220681713	4.82E-10	4.996E-15
0.4000	0.670320046035639	0.670320046035336	4.36E-10	3.0298E-13
0.5000	0.606530659712633	0.606530659712355	9.13E-10	2.78E-13
0.6000	0.548811636094026	0.54881163609393	6.94E-10	9.59233E-14
0.7000	0.49658530379141	0.496585303791375	6.91E-10	3.50275E-14
0.8000	0.449328964117222	0.44932896411716	6.17E-10	6.2006E-14
0.9000	0.406569659740599	0.40656965974057	9.41E-10	2.89768E-14
1.0000	0.367879441171442	0.367879441171513	7.71E-10	7.100E-14

### 5 Discussion of Result

We observed that from all the three problems tested with this proposed block hybrid implicit method there results converges to exact solutions and also compared favourably with the existing similar methods (see Tables 1, 2 and 3).

**Table 2. Comparison of approximate solution of problem 2**

$x$	Exact solution	Computed result	Error in [3]	New error
0.1000	1.0050125208594	1.00501252086179	3.7950(-11)	2.38987E-12
0.2000	1.02020134002675	1.0202013400286	3.8550(-11)	1.85008E-12
0.3000	1.04602785990871	1.04602785990969	1.1000(-13)	9.79883E-13
0.4000	1.08328706767495	1.08328706776356	9.4456(-10)	8.861E-11
0.5000	1.13314845306682	1.13314845322051	1.4793(-9)	1.5369E-10
0.6000	1.19721736312181	1.19721736337855	1.3264(-8)	2.5674E-10
0.7000	1.27762131320488	1.27762131362009	5.3520(-8)	4.1521E-10
0.8000	1.37712776433595	1.37712776498828	2.7533(-7)	6.5233E-10
0.9000	1.49930250005676	1.49930250107794	1.3014(-6)	1.02118E-09
1.0000	1.64872127070012	1.648721272298	6.3015(-6)	1.59788E-09

**Table 3. Comparison of approximate solution of problem 3**

$x$	Exact solution	Computed result	Error in [7]	New error
0.01	2.11763168254303	2.11763168254301	1.1000(-14)	1.9984E-14
0.02	2.23065096084009	2.23065096084004	-	4.9738E-14
0.03	2.33923868984853	2.33923868984853	2.1000(-14)	-
0.04	2.44356863310137	2.44356863310126	-	1.10134E-13
0.05	2.54380774076605	2.54380774076596	5.1000(-13)	9.01501E-14
0.06	2.64011641680034	2.64011641680036	4.0400(-12)	1.9984E-14
0.07	2.73264877563282	2.73264877563282	3.3010(-11)	-
0.08	2.82155288877893	2.82155288877925	5.6076(-10)	3.19744E-13
0.09	2.90697102178691	2.90697102178704	7.4662(-9)	1.30118E-13
1.00	2.98903986189308	2.98903986189323	8.4977(-8)	1.50102E-13

## 6 Conclusion

We conclude that our proposed block hybrid implicit method is of uniform order 8 at  $k = 4$ . Also the new block method displays its superiority over [3,7,9] from tables of results.

## Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- [1] Badmus AM, Yahaya YA, Subair AO. Implicit stomer cowell k-step hybrid block methods for solution of first order ordinary differential equations (ODE). International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research. 2014;3(4):464-472.
- [2] Awoyemi DO. A class of continuous methods for general second order initial value problems in ordinary differential equations. International Journal of computer Mathematic. 1999;72:29-33. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207169908804832>
- [3] Badmus AM, Mshelia DW. Some uniform order block method for the solution of first-order ordinary differential equations. Journal of Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics. 2011;19:149-154.



- [4] Badmus AM, Mshelia DW. Uniform order zero-stable k step methods for initial value problems of ordinary differential equations. Journal of Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics. 2012;20: 65-74.
- [5] Badmus AM, Adegboye ZA. Comparison of two new Quade's type hybrid Block methods for solution of ordinary differential equations. Journal of research in Physical Sciences. 2010;6(1):71-76.
- [6] Lamber JD. Computational methods in ordinary differential equations. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1973;278.
- [7] Odekunle MR, Adesanya AO, Sunday J. Four point block method for direct integration of first order ordinary differential equations. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA). 2012a;2:1182-1187. ISSN: 2248-9622.
- [8] Yahaya YA, Adegboye ZA. A new Quade's type 4-step blocks hybrid multistep method for accurate and efficient parallel solution of ordinary differential equations. Abacus 34, No 2B. 2007;271-278.
- [9] Umaru M, Ma'ali AI, Badeggi AY. Derivation of block hybrid method for the solution of first order initial value problems in ODEs. The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology. 2014;15:1.

---

© 2016 Yahaya and Tijjani; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)  
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/12619>